



## Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District

310 East Avenue C  
 P. O. Box 92  
 Milano, Texas 76556

Phone: 512-455-9900  
 Fax: 512-455-9909  
 Email: [admin@posgcd.org](mailto:admin@posgcd.org)  
 Website: [www.posgcd.org](http://www.posgcd.org)

### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE PUBLIC COMMENTS

#### PROPOSED DESIRED FUTURE CONDITIONS OF GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT AREA 12

The Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (District) will hold a **Public Hearing at 5:30 pm, July 13, 2021**, at the District’s offices at 310 E Ave. C, Milano, Texas, for the purpose of receiving public comments on the proposed Desired Future Conditions for the area aquifers that were recently adopted by Groundwater Management Area 12 (GMA 12) under §36.108, Texas Water Code. GMA 12 includes the groundwater conservation districts as follows: Brazos Valley Groundwater Conservation District, Fayette County Groundwater Conservation District, Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District, Mid-East Texas Groundwater Conservation District, and Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District. The proposed Desired Future Conditions and supporting materials for the area aquifers are available at the District’s offices at 310 E Ave. C, Milano, Texas, or on the District’s website at [www.posgcd.org](http://www.posgcd.org). Public comments will be accepted by the District through **July 23, 2021** at the District’s offices, by mail, or email, or at the public hearing. For more information, please contact the District at 310 E. Avenue C, Milano, Texas, or by mail at P.O. Box 92, Milano, Texas, 76556, by email at [admin@posgcd.org](mailto:admin@posgcd.org), by fax at 512-455-9909, or by phone at 512-455-9900.

#### **The Proposed Desired Future Conditions for Aquifers in Groundwater Management Area 12 are listed as follows:**

Tables 1, 2, and 3 list the DFCs proposed by GMA 12 during a GMA 12 meeting held on March 18, 2021, which had been properly noticed and posted as a public meeting.

Table 1. GMA 12 DFCs proposed for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.<sup>1</sup> Districts may adopt Proposed DFCs within a range of 10% above or below the values in the aquifers listed in Table 1.

GCD	Average Drawdown (ft) for Entire Aquifer						Time Period for Average Drawdown
	Sparta	Queen City	Carrizo	Calvert Bluff	Simsboro	Hooper	
Lost Pines	22	28	137	154	311	173	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069
Brazos Valley	50	43	84	116	261	178	1/1/2000 to 12/31/2069
Post Oak Savannah	32	31	172	179	336	214	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069
Mid-East Texas	25	21	49	59	81	73	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069
Fayette County	40	65	122	na	na	an	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069

<sup>1</sup> the proposed DFCs are based on Run 12 for the Updated Groundwater Availability Model for the central portion of the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifers (INTERA and others, 2020). Fayette County GCD did not propose a DFC for the Calvert Bluff, Silmsboro, or the Hooper Aquifers because the district declared these three aquifers as non-relevant aquifers.

Table 2. GMA 12 DFCs proposed for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer.<sup>2</sup> Districts may adopt Proposed DFCs within a range of 10% above or below the values in the aquifers listed in Table 2.

<b>GCD</b>	<b>Average Drawdown (ft) for Entire Aquifer</b>	<b>Time Period for Average Drawdown</b>
Brazos Valley	61	1/1/2000 to 12/31/2069
Post Oak Savannah	100	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069
Mid-East Texas	7	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069
Fayette County	77	1/1/2010 to 12/31/2069

<sup>2</sup> the proposed DFCs are based on Run 2 for the Groundwater Water Availability Model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer (INTERA and others, 2020). Lost Pines GCD did propose a DFC for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer because the district declared the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer as a non-relevant aquifer.

Table 3. GMA 12 DFCs proposed for the Brazos River Alluvium.<sup>3</sup>

<b>County</b>	<b>Desired Future Condition Statement</b>
Milam County	A decrease of 5 feet in the average saturated thickness over the period from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2069. The baseline average saturated thickness for 2010 is estimated at 24.5 feet and is based on an analysis of historical water level data and well depth values
Burleson County	A decrease of 6 feet in the average saturated thickness over the period from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2069. The baseline average saturated thickness for 2010 is estimated at 38.5 feet and is based on an analysis of historical water level data and well depth values.
Brazos and Robertson Counties	Percent saturation above well depth shall average at least 30 percent for wells located north of State Highway 21 and 40 percent for wells located south of State Highway 21. If the percent saturation criteria are reached for three consecutive years then the DFC would be reached.

<sup>3</sup>the proposed DFCs remain the same as the current DFCs. The DFCs were checked with Run 2 for the Brazos River Alluvium GAM (Ewing and Jigmond, 2016)