Draft: Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment 2020

Prepared for:



Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District 310 E Ave C Milano, TX 76556

Prepared by:



9600 Great Hills Trail Suite 300 Austin, TX 78759

December 2020

This page intentionally blank

Draft: Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment 2020

Prepared for:



Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District 310 E Ave C Milano, TX 76556

Prepared by:



Steven C Young PE, PG

Van Kelley, PG

Ross Kushnereit GIT

Lakin Beal

December 2020

This page intentionally blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report comprises the Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (POSGCD) Groundwater Assistance Program (GWAP) Annual Needs Assessment for 2020, hereafter referred to in this document as GANA. The objective of the GANA is to identify eligible wells where water levels are likely to decline below the elevation of the pump setting as a result of regional groundwater production in GMA 12 within the next 10 years. To be eligible for funding under the GWAP, a well must be: 1) either a low-capacity permitted well or an exempt well used for domestic and/or livestock use, and, 2) completed in any aquifer in the District other than the Trinity Aquifer, Yegua-Jackson Aquifer and river alluvial or terraced formations.

A well is designated as a high-priority well if its model predicted well water level in 2029 is below the elevation of its pump setting recorded in the POSGCD database. The simulated predictive water levels were generated using the updated GMA 12 groundwater availability model (GAM) and a modified GMA-12 pumping scenario based upon Pumping Scenario 7 (PS-7). The modified simulation is a best estimate of pumping both within POSGCD and in the remainder of GMA-12. The simulation is termed PS-7a.

A total of 41 wells were identified as high-priority wells. Of the 41 wells, 36 are completed in the Carrizo Aquifer with the remaining 5 in the Calvert Bluff (n=3), Queen City (n=1), and Simsboro (n=1). Most high-priority wells are a result of the initiation of pumping at Vista Ridge. A comparison of drawdown from 2019 to 2022 as compared to 2019 to 2029 shows the drawdown cone sets up quickly. Seventy-two percent of the Carrizo wells that would become high-priority wells by 2029 will have already met the criteria by 2022. Because most eligible wells do not have pump elevation data in the POSGCD well database, we also used inference and the statistics underlying the high priority wells to identify wells that are likely to have been classified as been high priority wells if pump elevation data were available. These wells are termed moderate priority wells. A total of 56 moderate priority wells were identified. Out of these 56 wells, 33 wells and 22 wells are likely to require corrective action because of low water levels by 2029 and 2022, respectively.

The assessment of high priority and moderate priority wells is necessarily based on predictive groundwater modeling which assumes that the modeling has low predictive uncertainty. The modeling relies on assumptions regarding well data, future pumping scenarios and the accuracy of historical pumping values. Since these assumptions may not always be valid, some wells that are designated priority wells may not require assistance within the next 10 years or may not actually require assistance at all. Therefore, POSGCD's Water Resource Specialist should verify the eligibility and review the well construction of the 41 high-priority wells and moderate-priority wells. We also identified 33 wells where the modeling predicted that the 2019 water level was less than 15 feet about the pump. These wells were termed wells of concern and we would also recommend that the POSGCD Water Resource Specialist investigate their water level condition. For all these wells, it would be useful to measure water levels at the wells to check them versus modeled results.

Study Limitations

The findings contained in this report represent INTERA's professional opinion arrived at in accordance with applicable professional standards and based upon analysis of information available at the time the report was produced. The report was prepared at the request of the Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District to support on-going assessment of the District's aquifers, groundwater resources, and management policies. This report is a technical analysis and may or may not be partially or wholly consistent with the POSGCD Board's policies or current thinking. Groundwater management consistent with Chapter 36 of the Water Code is an adaptive process based upon best available science. Therefore, updates and changes to the report findings may be appropriate as the best available science evolves

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| INTRO | DUCTION | 1 |
|-------|--|--|
| 1.1 | GWAP Background | 1 |
| DATA | SOURCES SUPPORTING THE ASSESSMENT | 3 |
| 2.1 | Eligible Wells | 3 |
| 2.2 | Monitored Groundwater Water Levels | 5 |
| 2.3 | Modeled Groundwater Water Levels | 9 |
| GWAP | ASSESSMENT | .13 |
| 3.1 | Priority Assessment Methodology | .13 |
| 3.2 | Results | .13 |
| | 3.2.1 Simulated Drawdown in Relevant Aquifers | .13 |
| | 3.2.2 High Priority Wells Based Upon Known Pump Elevations | .22 |
| | 3.2.3 Treatment of Wells without Pump Information | . 22 |
| SUMN | IARY OF ANALYSES AND RECOMMENDATIONS | .27 |
| 4.1 | Summary of Analyses | . 27 |
| 4.2 | Recommendations | . 28 |
| REFER | ENCES | . 29 |
| | INTRO 1.1 DATA 3 2.1 2.2 2.3 GWAP 3.1 3.2 SUMM 4.1 4.2 REFER | INTRODUCTION 1.1 GWAP Background DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING THE ASSESSMENT 2.1 Eligible Wells 2.2 Monitored Groundwater Water Levels 2.3 Modeled Groundwater Water Levels GWAP ASSESSMENT 3.1 Priority Assessment Methodology 3.2 Results 3.2.1 Simulated Drawdown in Relevant Aquifers 3.2.2 High Priority Wells Based Upon Known Pump Elevations 3.2.3 Treatment of Wells without Pump Information SUMMARY OF ANALYSES AND RECOMMENDATIONS 4.1 Summary of Analyses REFERENCES |

| Appendix A. Location of Exempt Wells by Aquiter |
|---|
|---|

- Appendix B: Location of Low-Capacity Permitted Wells by Aquifer
- Appendix C: Listing of Wells in POSGCD Groundwater Monitoring Network
- Appendix D: Listing of high-priority wells and wells of concern
- Appendix E: Hydrographs for High-Priority Wells
- Appendix F: Hydrographs of for Wells of Concern
- Appendix G: Listing of moderate-priority wells in the Carrizo Aquifer
- Appendix H: Hydrographs for Moderate-Priority Wells in the Carrizo Aquifer

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1 | All Exempt Wells in POSGCD in Eligible Aquifers | 3 |
|---------|--|----|
| Table 2 | Permitted wells in POSGCD with an average annual permitted production of 50 | |
| | gallons per minute or less in eligible aquifers | 4 |
| Table 3 | Number of exempt and permitted wells eligible for the GWAP by aquifer | 4 |
| Table 4 | Groundwater Availability Models used by POSGCD to simulate impacts of pumping | |
| | on water levels as part of the regional planning process | 9 |
| Table 5 | Pumping rates in POSGCD aquifers f for PS-7a | 10 |
| Table 6 | Simulated water level elevation relative to pump elevation in eligible wells for the | |
| | administrative period (2019 through 2029) and for the time period from 2019 | |
| | through 2022 | 22 |
| Table 7 | Estimate of Number of Moderate-Priority Wells in 2029 based on an Analysis of | |
| | the Location of High-Priority Wells | 23 |
| Table 8 | Estimate of Number of Moderate-Priority Wells in 2022 based on an Analysis of | |
| | the Location of High-Priority Wells | 23 |
| | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1 | Locations of eligible exempt wells completed in the Carrizo Aquifer | 6 |
|-----------|---|----|
| Figure 2 | Locations of eligible low-capacity permitted wells completed in the Carrizo | |
| | Aquifer | 7 |
| Figure 3 | Monitoring wells in POSGCD Groundwater Monitoring Network | 8 |
| Figure 4 | POSGCD pumping rates from the year 2011 to 2070 used in GMA-12 Pumping | |
| | Scenario 7 | 11 |
| Figure 5 | LPGCD pumping rates from the year 2011 to 2070 used in GMA-12 Pumping | |
| | Scenario 7 | 11 |
| Figure 6 | BVGCD pumping rates from the year 2011 to 2070 used in GMA-12 Pumping | |
| | Scenario 7 | 12 |
| Figure 7 | LPGCD pumping rates from the year 2011 to 2070 used in GMA-12 Pumping | |
| | Scenario 7a | 12 |
| Figure 8 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Sparta Aquifer | 16 |
| Figure 9 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Queen City Aquifer | 17 |
| Figure 10 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Carrizo Aquifer | 18 |
| Figure 11 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Calvert Bluff Aquifer | 19 |
| Figure 12 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Simsboro Aquifer | 20 |
| Figure 13 | Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the | |
| | Hooper Aquifer | 21 |

| and |
|-------|
| on in |
| 24 |
| and |
| on in |
| 25 |
| |

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| AF AFY | acre-feet acre-feet per year |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| BVGCD | Brazos Valley Groundwater Conservation District |
| DEM DFCs | Digital Elevation Model Desired Future Conditions |
| ft | feet |
| GAM GANA GMA gpm GWAP | groundwater availability models Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment for 2020 Groundwater Management Area gallons per minute Groundwater Assistance Program |
| ID | identification |
| NED | National Elevation Dataset |
| LPGCD | Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District |
| POSGCD PS | Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District Pumping Scenario |
| TWDB | Texas Water Development Board |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report comprises the Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (POSGCD, or "District") Groundwater Assistance Program (GWAP) Annual Needs Assessment for 2020, referred to herein as the GANA. According to the POSGCD GWAP documentation (POSGCD, 2020), the GANA:

"Shall identify high-priority wells that are projected to experience water level declines below the pump within the next 10 years. The projections will be based on an integration of two sources of information. One source will be water level predictions from the GAM. The other source will be adjustments to GAM model predictions based on potential biases in the GAM simulations."

This report documents the process used to identify high priority wells and provides a discussion of the tools and assumptions used to make this assessment as well as prioritize the wells based upon their need for assistance over the next 10 years. Finally, the report reviews GANA findings and makes recommendations for improving both the GWAP and the GANA to achieve District goals.

1.1 GWAP Background

The GWAP was created to assist owners of exempted and low-capacity permitted wells (typically domestic & livestock) whose water levels are projected to decline below the pump from regional groundwater production in Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 12 over the course of the next decade. The GWAP is a proactive program meant to identify high-priority wells in the District projected to experience water level declines below their pump during typical operations from groundwater production in the District and GMA 12. A second objective is to provide technical and/or financial assistance to well owners to help prevent the loss of water supply in high-priority wells. While meant to be proactive, assistance under GWAP may include temporarily restoring a water supply to those well owners should a situation arise where the water level in a well has dropped below the pump before corrective action has taken place.

The following sections describe the methodology used to identify priority wells that should be investigated by POSGCD. The District Water Resource Management Specialist has the primary responsibility of recommending appropriate actions to be taken.

This page intentionally blank

2.0 DATA SOURCES SUPPORTING THE ASSESSMENT

There are several types of data required to perform the GANA. These data include information regarding exempt and permitted POSGCD wells, monitoring well data from the POSGCD Monitoring Well Network, and future predicted aquifer water levels using the groundwater availability models (GAMs) used by the POSGCD to simulate Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) in the relevant POSGCD aquifers. Each of these data sources will be described in the following subsections of this report.

2.1 Eligible Wells

According to the POSGCD GWAP documentation (POSGCD, 2020), to be eligible for assistance in the GWAP, a well must meet the following criteria:

- 1. Located in Milam or Burleson counties
- 2. Functional and registered with the District
- 3. Accessible for monitoring water levels by POSGCD
- 4. Owner must agree to allow monitoring by POSGCD
- 5. Either a low-capacity permitted well that produces less than 50 gallons per minute (gpm) OR an exempt well used for domestic and/or livestock use as defined in the District's Rules
- 6. Completed in any aquifer in the District other than the Trinity Aquifer, Yegua-Jackson Aquifer and river alluvial or terraced formations
- 7. May not be covered by a mitigation agreement included in a permit issued by the District or required by the State of Texas

POSGCD maintains an online database of all wells registered in the District (<u>https://posgcd.halff.com</u>). The HALFF database was used to help identify wells eligible for assistance. Well information needed to determine wells potentially eligible includes whether they are exempt or non-exempt (permitted) status and, if they are permitted, whether they are incapable of producing greater than 50 gpm. Other important well attributes required are what aquifer the well is completed in and well completion details, in particular the pump depth. **Table 1** provides the total number of exempt wells in the POSGCD HALFF database completed in GWAP-eligible aquifers, along with an accounting of how many of those wells have pump depth in the HALFF database. **Appendix A** provides maps of the locations of the exempt wells that are currently registered by POSGCD in the aquifers relevant to this analysis. **Figure 1** shows the map of exempt well locations for the Carrizo Aquifer provided in Appendix A.

Table 1 All Exempt Wells in POSGCD in Eligible Aquifers

| Aquifer | Has Pump Depth Information | No Pump Information | Total Eligible Exempt Wells |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sparta | 114 | 822 | 936 |
| Queen City | 125 | 711 | 836 |
| Carrizo | 75 | 203 | 278 |
| Calvert Bluff | 150 | 374 | 524 |
| Simsboro | 49 | 316 | 365 |
| Hooper | 140 | 521 | 661 |
| TOTAL | 653 | 2,947 | 3,600 |

For this report, a well is considered a low-capacity, permitted well (eligibility requirement #5) if the maximum production pumping capacity of the permitted well in the HALFF database is 50 gpm or less and the well is completed in an eligible aquifer (requirement #6). If the maximum production capacity of the well is not listed in the database, a well would also be included if the average annual permitted production is less than 81 acre-feet, which is equivalent to pumping continuously at a rate of 50 gpm for an entire year. **Table 2** provides the total number of permitted wells that meet requirements #5 and #6, along with an accounting of how many of those wells have pump depth in the HALFF database. **Table 3** provides the total number of permitted wells eligible for the GWAP and summarized by aquifer. **Appendix B** shows the locations of the low-capacity permitted wells that are currently registered by POSGCD. **Figure 2** shows the map of low-capacity permitted well locations for the Carrizo Aquifer provided in Appendix B.

Table 2Permitted wells in POSGCD with an average annual permitted production of 50 gallons per minute
or less in eligible aquifers

| Aquifer | Has Pump Depth Information | No Pump Information | Total Eligible Exempt Wells |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Sparta | 38 | 0 | 38 |
| Queen City | 56 | 6 | 62 |
| Carrizo | 44 | 3 | 47 |
| Calvert Bluff | 132 | 14 | 146 |
| Simsboro | 42 | 4 | 46 |
| Hooper | 132 | 13 | 145 |
| TOTAL | 444 | 40 | 484 |

 Table 3
 Number of exempt and permitted wells eligible for the GWAP by aquifer

| Aquifer | Total Eligible Exempt Wells | Total Eligible Permitted Wells | Total Eligible Wells | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Sparta | 936 | 38 | 974 | |
| Queen City | 836 | 62 | 898 | |
| Carrizo | 278 | 47 | 325 | |
| Calvert Bluff | 524 | 146 | 670 | |
| Simsboro | 365 | 46 | 411 | |
| Hooper | 661 | 145 | 806 | |
| TOTAL | 3,600 | 484 | 4,084 | |

There are a total of 4,084 eligible wells in the POSGCD HALFF database. Of those, 3,600 are exempt wells and 484 are permitted wells meeting the capacity requirement for eligibility. The depth to the well pump is only known for 18% of the exempt wells. The depth to the well pump is known for 92% of the low-capacity permitted wells.

As will be discussed, if an eligible well is found to be a high priority well, the POSGCD will attempt to characterize the well completion details through cooperation with the well owner to make it eligible for corrective action. Throughout the analysis, individual wells will be referenced by their POSGCD

identification (ID). Any well owner can obtain the POSGCD ID for a well by contacting the POSGCD office in Milano, Texas.

2.2 Monitored Groundwater Water Levels

The POSGCD network of groundwater monitoring is an essential part of the GANA because it provides actual data by which to check model reliability. The POSGCD network of groundwater monitoring wells is continually being expanded to include additional wells. At the time this document was prepared, the POSGCD Monitoring Well network consists of the 256 wells shown in **Figure 3. Appendix C** provides information for the 256 wells in Figure 3, including their location, well depth, screened interval, and aquifer assignment. INTERA (2018) provides guidelines for the collection and analysis of monitoring data. The current analysis considers all water level measurements recorded prior to Summer 2020.



Figure 1 Locations of eligible exempt wells completed in the Carrizo Aquifer







Sparta

Queen City

- Sim sboro
- Cook Mountain Hooper
 - Below Hooper
- Carrizo
- Not Yet Assigned

Figure 3 Monitoring wells in POSGCD Groundwater Monitoring Network

2.3 Modeled Groundwater Water Levels

The POSGCD has registered wells in all the GWAP-eligible aquifers: Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and the Hooper. The Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro and Hooper aquifers are collectively referred to as the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer in Texas, but the individual members are physically distinct and have different hydrogeologic properties and are managed separately in GMA 12.

As part of the regional planning process in GMA 12, POSGCD uses the updated Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Central Queen City, Sparta and Carrizo-Wilcox GAM to simulate changes in water levels in response to future pumping. The updated Central Queen City, Sparta and Carrizo-Wilcox GAM is accepted by the TWDB as the best available science for the region (Young and others, 2018). In 2020, the GMA 12 consultants agreed to incorporate new aquifer test data in the Simsboro in the vicinity of the Vista Ridge Project to improve model parameters in that area. The revised GAM was documented (Young and others, 2020), reviewed by the TWDB, and has been developed with stakeholder review and comment. The 2020 updated GAM is considered the best available science for evaluating changes in future water levels in the POSGCD in response to pumping (**Table 4**).

Table 4Groundwater Availability Models used by POSGCD to simulate impacts of pumping on water levels
as part of the regional planning process

| Groundwater Availability Model | Aquifers | Reference |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| Central Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifers | Sparta, Queen City, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, Hooper | Young and others (2018) |
| GMA-12 Update to the Central Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo- Wilcox Aquifers | Sparta, Queen City, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, Hooper | Young and others (2020) |

The POSGCD GWAP documentation (POSGCD, 2020) states that GAMs will be used to perform GANA annual assessments and that the GAM simulations will include the most recent information on projected pumping in GMA 12. For this study, the projected pumping is represented by a modified version of GMA 12 pumping scenario seven (PS-7). The well file for PS-7 was developed by the GMA 12 consultants in Fall 2019 to represent a future pumping scenario where the amount of permitted pumping in GMA 12 was fully utilized in 2070. **Figure 4** shows a time series of the GAM pumping rates by aquifer in PS-7 in the POSGCD. Because water levels in POSGCD are also affected by pumping in adjoining counties, **Figure 5** and **Figure 6** provide a similar time series plots of GAM pumping rates by aquifer in PS-7 in Lost Pines Groundwater Conservation District (LPGCD) and Brazos Valley Groundwater Conservation District (BVGCD), respectively.

For this study, the pumping rates in PS-7 were used except that the pumping rates in the Simsboro Aquifer were decreased in LPGCD from 125,967 AF to 74,457 acre-feet (AF). The modified pumping scenario is referred to as PS-7a. The Simsboro pumping was reduced in PS-7 to create PS-7a by omitting all pumping associated with the Forestar permits and 50% of the pumping associated with the Recharge permits. These modifications were made because neither Forestar nor Recharge have neither contracts in place to sell groundwater. **Figure 7** shows the time series plot of LPGCD pumping by aquifer for PS-7a.

Table 5 lists the pumping rates in POSCD by aquifer included in PS-7a. The greatest increase in pumping rates occurs in the Carrizo and Simsboro aquifers from 2019 to 2020. The pumping in the Carrizo Aquifer increases from 2,157 AF in 2019 to 17,048 AF in 2020. The pumping in the Simsboro Aquifer increases from 5,221 AF in 2019 to 38,346 AF in 2020. The large increases in both aquifers are associated with the Vista Ridge permit beginning their pumping of groundwater in 2020 for delivery to the San Antonio Water System (SAWS).

The water levels for wells in the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox aquifers were simulated using the recently revised Central Sparta, Queen City and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer GAM (Young and others, 2020) and the GMA 12 well file for PS-7a.

| Aquifor | Pumping Rate (acre-feet per year) for PS-7a | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Aquiier | 2019 | 2020 | 2022 | 2026 | 2029 | 2039 | 2070 | | |
| Sparta | 1,224 | 1,237 | 1,264 | 1,317 | 1,358 | 1,498 | 1,983 | | |
| Queen City | 506 | 513 | 528 | 559 | 583 | 672 | 1,045 | | |
| Carrizo | 2,157 | 17,048 | 17,091 | 17,177 | 17,242 | 17,463 | 18,206 | | |
| Calvert Bluff | 2,150 | 2,180 | 2,241 | 2,368 | 2,467 | 3,265 | 4,761 | | |
| Simsboro | 5,221 | 38,346 | 40,803 | 42,926 | 46,020 | 82,113 | 88,259 | | |
| Hooper | 1,785 | 1,806 | 1,849 | 1,937 | 2,004 | 2,240 | 3,126 | | |
| Total | 13,044 | 61,131 | 63,777 | 66,284 | 69,674 | 107,251 | 117,381 | | |

Table 5 Pumping rates in POSGCD aquifers f for PS-7a















Figure 7 LPGCD pumping rates from the year 2011 to 2070 used in GMA-12 Pumping Scenario 7a

3.0 GWAP ASSESSMENT

3.1 Priority Assessment Methodology

The primary issue of concern for the GWAP assessment is whether the water level at an eligible groundwater well will drop below the elevation of that well's pump setting. Specifically, the GWAP defines high-priority wells as wells where the water level is projected to fall below the pump elevation within 10 years. The relevant time frame of interest in this year's assessment for high-priority wells is a 10-year period from December 2019 to December 2029. The purpose of this document is to define those high-priority wells so that POSGCD staff can perform the necessary due diligence required to investigate whether corrective actions are needed.

This assessment uses the predicted water levels from the PS-7a GAM simulation introduced in Section 2.3. PS-7a is considered the best current estimate of future pumping in GMA 12 for assessing regional water level declines and their local impacts on the operation of wells. In addition to predicted water levels, the GANA must either know, or assume, the elevation of a well's pump. The pump elevation for each well is determined by subtracting the reported pump depth from the surface elevation, which is established from the 10-meter resolution National Elevation Dataset (NED) Digital Elevation Model (DEM). Because wells require some available drawdown to produce water and because of inaccuracies in estimating ground surface elevation at the well, we have assumed that, if the projected water level in an eligible well is within 15 feet of the elevation of the pump, that pump is considered dry and that well classifies as a high priority well.

Because the majority eligible wells do not have pump information on record in the POSGCD database, a second analysis was performed to identify wells that are of interest even though pump elevation is unknown. One reason for conducting the second analysis is to estimate how many of the wells with no pump depth may potentially need corrective action. This information can inform program budgets considered by the District in the future.

3.2 Results

3.2.1 Simulated Drawdown in Relevant Aquifers

To help identify high-priority wells and the time frame these wells may need corrective action, we have analyzed drawdown over two different time periods. The first is a three-year period from 2019 through 2022. The second is a ten-year period from 2019 through 2029. The ten-year period is the time frame recognized in the GWAP for identifying high-priority wells. The reason we also looked at a three-year period is because we noticed that the drawdown cones propagated quickly and that it may be important to prioritize the sequence in which the well investigations are conducted.

Figure 8 through **Figure 13** show the drawdown that occurs between 2019 and 2022 and 2019 and 2029 as simulated by the Central Sparta/Queen City/Carrizo-Wilcox GAM based on pumping in Run PS-7a for all qualifying aquifers. These figures identify which wells have pump information in the POSGCD database and which ones do not. For those eligible wells that have pump information, the figures identify if the predicted water level at the well is greater than or less than 15 feet above the pump at the

period of interest (2022 or 2029). The figures also identify wells where the simulated water level in 2019 is less than 15 feet above the pump elevation. Wells that have water levels below the pump in 2019 are not necessarily considered as high-priority wells because, if both the pump data and the simulated water levels were correct, the well would have stopped operating properly in 2019 and the well owner would have reported the problem to POSGCD or have lowered the pump. For this report, wells with water wells less than 15 feet above the pump elevation in 2019 are considered wells of concern.

Several key observations are organized by aquifer below.

- <u>Sparta Aquifer</u> Figure 8 shows the drawdown contours for Sparta Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. Drawdowns are relatively small (less than 10 feet) in the Sparta and are isolated to Burleson County, where the Sparta Aquifer outcrops. Four wells have well levels below the pump elevation in 2019. No high-priority wells are identified in either 2022 or 2029.
- Queen City Aquifer Figure 9 shows the drawdown contours for Queen City Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. Again, because the Queen City Aquifer outcrops in southernmost Milam and northernmost Burleson County, drawdown is limited primarily to Burleson County. Again, drawdowns are minimal (between 0 and 10 feet) and are not being caused by large non-exempt pumping. Five wells have predicted water levels below the pump elevation in 2019. One well in 2022 and 2029 has a simulated water level below the pump elevation. That well is in an area where the predicted drawdown from 2019 to 2029 is less than 5 feet.
- Carrizo Aquifer Figure 10 shows the drawdown contours for Carrizo Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. From a review of the drawdown contours in 2022 and in 2029, one can see that the impact of Vista Ridge pumping on regional water levels is predicted to occur relatively quickly. It is expected that the drawdown cones associated with Vista Ridge will come into a quasi-equilibrium by 2029, and the identification of new high priority wells should fall off after 2029 barring additional projects not modeled in PS-7a. The largest drawdown occurs in the vicinity of the Vista Ridge well field and the drawdown values decrease radially outward from there towards Robertson and Brazos counties. By 2029 the drawdowns are between 340 and 240 feet (ft) in the Vista Ridge wellfield, are generally less than 10 ft in northeast Milam County, and are generally less than 70 ft along the county line with Brazos County. Five wells have well levels below the pump elevation in 2019. Twenty-six and 36 high-priority wells are identified in 2022 and in 2029, respectively. The majority of high-priority wells are located within a radial distance of 8 miles from the Vista Ridge well field.
- <u>Calvert Bluff Aquifer</u>- Figure 11 shows drawdown contours for the Calvert Bluff Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. The drawdown contours exhibit a similar pattern to those for the Carrizo Aquifer, but the drawdowns are less. The drawdowns are about 140 ft or less near the Vista Ridge wellfield, are generally less than 10 feet in northeast Milam County, and are generally from 40 to 70 ft along the county line with Brazos County. Ten wells have predicted water levels below the pump elevation in 2019. No high-priority wells are identified in 2022 and three high-priority wells are identified in 2029.
- <u>Simsboro Aquifer</u> Figure 12 shows drawdown contours for Simsboro Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. The drawdowns contours are about 290 ft or less in the Vista Ridge wellfield, are less than 30 ft across the outcrop in Milam County, and are about 140 ft or less along the county line with Brazos County. Ten wells have well levels below the pump elevation in 2019. No high-priority wells are identified in 2022 and one high-priority well is identified in 2029.

Post Oak Savannah GCD Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment 2020

Hooper Aquifer - Figure 13 shows drawdown contours for the Hooper Aquifer from 2019 through 2022 and for 2019 through 2029. In the Hooper Aquifer, the drawdowns are greatest several miles north of the Vista Ridge well field, where they are about 100 ft. Across most of the outcrop for the Hooper Aquifer in Milam County, the drawdowns are less than 10 ft and, along the county line with Brazos County, the drawdowns range between 60 ft to less than 10 ft in the outcrop in Milam County. Six wells have well levels below the pump elevation in 2019. No high-priority wells are identified in either 2022 or 2029.

Appendix D lists the 41 wells identified as high-priority wells and the 33 wells of concern that have simulated water levels in 2019 that are less than 15 feet above the pump elevation.

Appendix E shows the hydrographs of the simulated water levels for high-priority wells. **Appendix F** shows the hydrographs of the simulated water levels for the wells of concern. Each figure shows the simulated water level in the well from 2000 to 2032 and includes markers for the land surface, measured water levels, the pump location, the top of well screen, and the bottom of the well. The title of the figure indicates the aquifer intersected by the well screen, whether it is an exempt or permitted low capacity well, and if the well is in the POSGCD monitoring well network.



Figure 8 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Sparta Aquifer



Figure 9 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure 10 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure 11 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Calvert Bluff Aquifer



Figure 12 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure 13 Contours of simulated drawdown from 2019 to 2022 and 2019 to 2029 in the Hooper Aquifer

3.2.2 High Priority Wells Based Upon Known Pump Elevations

Changes in water levels from 2019 to 2022 and from 2019 to 2029 were simulated for 675 eligible wells (either exempt of low-capacity permitted wells) that have pump information in the POSGCD well database. A well is considered a high-priority well if the following two conditions are met: (1) the simulated water level is greater than 15 ft above the pump elevation in 2019, and (2) the simulated water level is less than 15 ft above the pump elevation in 2029. The information provided in Figures 9 through 14 shows the location of 41 eligible wells that are classified as high-priority wells.

Table 6 provides general information about the difference in elevation between the simulated water levels and the pump location for the years 2022 and 2029 by aquifer for the 41 wells identified as high priority. Out of the 41 wells, 36 are completed in the Carrizo Aquifer with the remaining 5 in the Calvert Bluff (n=3), Queen City (n=1), and Simsboro (n=1). Table 6 shows the same statistics for the elevation difference between the simulated water level and the pump elevation for 2019 and 2022. What is significant regarding the two sets of statistics is that 72% of the Carrizo wells that would become high-priority wells by 2029 have already met the criteria by 2022.

| | Eligible Wells w/Pump Info | | | Simu | lated Wa | ater Leve | el Elevati | on Relat | ive to Ρι | ump Elev | vation | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Aquifer | | Year | <15 ft above pump | <10 ft above pump | <5 ft above pump | < 2 ft above pump | > 2 ft below pump | > 5 ft below pump | >20 ft below pump | >25 ft below pump | >50 ft below pump | > 100 ft below pump |
| Charta | 116 | 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spana | 110 | 2029 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queen City | 107 | 2022 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 127 | 2029 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 80 | 2022 | 26 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 0 |
| Camzo | | 2029 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 31 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 24 | 13 | 3 |
| Calvert | 168 | 2022 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bluff | | 2029 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Circohoro | 4.4 | 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Simsboro | 44 | 2029 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| | 140 | 2022 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hooper | 140 | 2029 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6Simulated water level elevation relative to pump elevation in eligible wells for the administrative
period (2019 through 2029) and for the time period from 2019 through 2022.

3.2.3 Treatment of Wells without Pump Information in the Carrizo

Based on the review of the well data in Figures 8 through 13, there are a significant number of Carrizo wells located near the high-priority wells that may have been identified as high-priority wells if their pump elevation were known. To provide an estimate of how many wells could fall in this category, we develop a three-step process that consists of the following:

- Step 1 Select the drawdown value that encompasses most of the high-priority wells in the Carrizo aquifer. From a review of Figure 10 we determined that the 100 ft drawdown contour encompasses most high-priority wells in the Carrizo aquifer that are located near the Vista Ridge well field (see Figure 14).
- Step 2 Calculate the percentage of the wells with pump information that have drawdowns greater than 100 ft that are high-priority wells. This percentage is 59%, which is determined using the information in Table 7.
- Step 3 Identify the number of Carrizo wells without pumping information in Figure 10 (or Figure 14) that have greater than 100 feet of drawdown. This number is 56 wells (see Table 7). To estimate the number of additional potential high-priority wells could have been identified if all the Carrizo wells had pump elevation data, we multiply 56 wells by 59% to get 33 wells (see Table 7).

These additional 56 wells estimated in Step 3 above are designated as moderate-priority wells. Thirtythree (33) out of the 56 wells are estimated to potentially have problems with low water levels before 2029. **Appendix G** lists the 56 moderate-priority wells, and **Appendix H** provides hydrographs for these moderate-priority wells. The number of the 56 moderate-priority wells that may need correction actions before 2022 is estimated to be 22. The number 22 was estimated by applying the three-step process to the information in **Table 8** and depicted in **Figure 15** below. For 2022 the drawdown contour that encompassed the majority of the high priority wells with pump elevations was 70 feet of drawdown.

Table 7Estimate of Number of Moderate-Priority Wells in 2029 based on an Analysis of the Location of
High-Priority Wells

| Number of Wells Encircled by the 100 ft Drawdown Contour in 2029* | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| (a) Wells with Pump Information | (b) Number of High Priority Well | (c) Percent of Wells with Pump Information that are High-Priority Wells | (d) Number of Wells with No Pump Information | (e) Number of wells in Column (d) that are moderate-risk wells based on the percentage in Column (c) | | | |
| 58 | 34 | 59% | 56 | 33 | | | |

*tabulated values are based on information shown in Figure 16

Table 8Estimate of Number of Moderate-Priority Wells in 2022 based on an Analysis of the Location of
High-Priority Wells

| Number of Wells Encircled by the 70 ft Drawdown Contour in 2022* | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| (a) Wells with Pump Information | (b) Number of High Priority Well | (c) Percent of Wells with Pump Information that are High-Priority Wells | (d) Number of Wells with No Pump Information | (e) Number of wells in Column (d) that are moderate-risk wells based on the percentage in Column (c) | | | |
| 55 | 24 | 44% | 51 | 22 | | | |

*tabulated values are based on information shown in Figure 17



Figure 14 Drawdown in the Carrizo Aquifer greater than 100 feet from 2019 to 2029 and predicted water level relative to known pump settings based on information in the POSGCD well database



Figure 15 Drawdown in the Carrizo Aquifer greater than 70 feet from 2019 to 2022 and predicted water level relative to known pump settings based on information in the POSGCD well database

This page intentionally blank
4.0 SUMMARY OF ANALYSES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The GWAP assists well-owners with exempt and permitted low-capacity wells (typically domestic & livestock) whose water levels decline below the pump setting as a result of regional groundwater production in GMA 12. The report identifies priority wells that are likely to have water levels drop below the pump in or before 2029. The priority classification of a wells is based on whether the pump elevation of the well is known. For wells with pump information, a well is designated as a high-priority well if the following two conditions are met: (1) its simulated water level in 2019 is greater than 15 ft above the pump elevation, and (2) its simulated water level in 2029 is less than 15 ft above the elevation of its pump elevation. For wells without pump information, the well is designated as a moderate-priority well if there is sufficient cause to believe that the well would be classified as a high-priority well if the pump information were available.

The future water levels are generated from GAM simulations and estimates of a future pumping. The incorporation of multiple sources of data and multiple analysis includes several assumptions that introduces error and uncertainty into each well evaluation. Because our analyses are predictive and therefore not certain, some wells that are designated as a priority wells that may not require assistance within the next 10 years or may not actually require assistance at all in the future.

4.1 Summary of Analyses

This section summarizes the key analysis performed and documented in this report.

- The Central Sparta/Queen City/Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer GAM (Young and others, 2020) was used to simulate water levels in POSGCD and surrounding counties based on a modification GMA-12 model simulation PS-7. PS-7 was developed by the GMA 12 consultants to represent a pumping scenario where permitted pumping in GMA 12 was fully utilized in 2070. The modified pumping scenario used herein is referred to as PS-7a. PS-7a has less pumping in the Simsboro Aquifer in the LPGCD than does PS-7. From 2018 to 2028, PS-7a presumes that the Vista Ridge well field is pumping at its full permitted amount of 15,000 acre-feet per year (AFY) in the Carrizo Aquifer and 30,992 AFY in the Simsboro Aquifer from fall 2020 to 2050. Based on PS-7a, the following general trends in drawdown were simulated for the period 2019 to 2029:
 - Across most of the Sparta and Queen City aquifers, the drawdown is less than 10 ft
 - In the Carrizo Aquifer, drawdown in the vicinity of the Vista Ridge well field is greater than 250 ft and decreases radially outward from the well field to about 70 ft at the Brazos County line and to 20 ft in the outcrop in Milam County
 - In the Calvert Bluff Aquifer, drawdown in the vicinity of the Vista Ridge well field is greater than 180 ft and decreases radially outward from the well field to about 40 ft at the Brazos County line and to 10 ft in the outcrop in Milam County
 - In the Simsboro Aquifer, drawdown in the vicinity of the Vista Ridge well field is greater than 170 ft and decreases radially outward from the well field to about 70 ft at the Brazos County line and to 10 ft in the outcrop in Milam County
 - In the Hooper Aquifer, maximum drawdown is centered approximately five miles north of the Vista Ridge well field and is as high as 100 ft. Across most of the outcrop for the Hooper Aquifer in Milam County, the drawdowns are less than 10 ft and, along the county line with Brazos County, the drawdowns range between 60 ft to less than 10 ft in the outcrop in Milam County.

- For all eligible wells in the with information about the pump settings, a comparison was made between the simulated water level in the well and the elevation of the pump to determine the likelihood of whether there would be sufficient water for pumping the well for the next ten years. A well was classified as a high priority well if the following two conditions are met: (1) its simulated water level in 2019 is greater than 15 ft above the pump elevation, and (2) its simulated water level in 2029 is less than 15 ft above the elevation of its pump elevation. A total of 41 wells were classified as high-priority wells. Out of the 41 wells, 36 are completed in the Carrizo Aquifer with the remaining 5 in the Calvert Bluff (n=3), Queen City (n=1) and Simsboro (n=1). Seventy-two percent (72%) of the Carrizo wells that would become high-priority wells by 2029 have met the criteria by 2022.
- For eligible wells without information about the pump settings, select wells were classified as moderate-priority well if there is sufficient cause to believe that the well would be classified as a high-priority well if the pump information were available. The identification of moderate-priority wells was based on estimated drawdown at the well in 2029. Fifty-six (56) wells were classified as moderate-priority wells. Thirty-three (33) out of the 56 wells are estimated to have problems with low water levels before the end of 2029. Of those thirty-three, twenty-two (22) wells may need corrective actions before 2022.
- Hydrographs of simulated water levels from 2000 to 2032 were created for the 41 high-priority wells, 56 moderate-priority wells, and 33 wells of concern. Wells are classified as wells of concern if their simulated water levels in 2019 is less than 15 ft above their pump setting.

4.2 Recommendations

Based on the data, data analysis, and findings presented in the report, the following actions are recommended:

- POSGCD's Water Resource Specialist should verify the eligibility and review the well construction, the 41 high-priority wells, 56 moderate-priority wells, and 33 wells of concern and then consider measuring water levels at these wells to check the accuracy of the simulated water levels.
- Water levels should be measured at each high priority Carrizo well (or at nearby wells) at least once every four months and the elevation of the pump setting should be verified
- The Sparta/Queen City/Carrizo-Wilcox GAM should be continually updated using monitoring data so that it will be better suited for predicting the drawdown impacts that will be caused by the pumping of more than 50,000 AFY associated with the Vista Ridge Project.
- A methodology should be developed for using measured water level data to help adjust for biases and error in the simulated water levels.
- POSGCD should develop a practice for checking and quantifying the accuracy of reported pumping for non-exempt permits.

5.0 REFERENCES

- INTERA, 2018. Post Oak Savannah Guidance Document or Evaluating Compliance with Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits version 2, prepared for Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District, Milano, Texas. August, 2018
- Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (POSGCD), 2020. Draft; Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District Groundwater Well Assistance Program (GWAP).
- Young, S., Jigmond, M., Jones, T., and Ewing. T. 2018. Groundwater Availability Model for Central Portion of the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer, prepared for the TWDB, unnumbered report, September 2018
- Young, S., Kushnereit, R. Donnelly, A., Seifert, J., and Deed, N. 2020. GMA 12 Update to the Groundwater Availability Model for the Central Portion of the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifers: Update to Improve Representative of the Transmissive Properties of the Simsboro Aquifer in the Vicinity of the Vista Ridge Well Field, Prepared for GMA 12, November 2020.

This page is intentionally blank

APPENDIX A

LOCATION OF EXEMPT WELLS BY AQUIFER

This page intentionally blank



Figure A-1 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Sparta Aquifer



Figure A-2 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure A-3 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure A-4 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Calvert Bluff Aquifer



Figure A-5 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure A-6 Location of eligible exempt wells in the Hooper Aquifer

APPENDIX B

LOCATION OF LOW-CAPACITY PERMITTED WELLS BY AQUIFER

This page intentionally blank







Figure B-2 Location of eligible low-capacity permitted wells in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure B-3 Location of eligible low-capacity permitted wells in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure B-4 Location of eligible low-capacity permitted wells in the Calvert Bluff Aquifer



Figure B-5 Location of eligible low-capacity permitted wells in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure B-6 Location of eligible low-capacity permitted wells in the Hooper Aquifer

APPENDIX C

Listing of Wells in POSGCD Groundwater Monitoring Network

This page intentionally blank

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------|
| PO-000020 | 5917505 | 30.6811103 | -96.94801209 | 427.308 | 431.832 | 4.524 | 540 | 498-540 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000025 | 5917409 | 30.66846549 | -96.98688055 | 515.501 | 505.61 | 9.891 | 391 | 226-290, 320-390 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-000026 | 5917103 | 30.7237968 | -96.982987 | 456.965 | 458.03 | 1.065 | 410 | 115-410 | | Hooper | |
| PO-000053 | 5909901 | 30.78411818 | -96.89550211 | 428.028 | 434.364 | 6.336 | 169 | 109-169 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-000059 | 5911402 | 30.79711641 | -96.7347425 | 425.963 | 427.216 | 1.253 | 323 | 307-323 | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-000073 | 5910907 | 30.78088686 | -96.78499858 | 378.048 | 382.974 | 4.926 | 440 | 410-430 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-000077 | 5919103 | 30.740555 | -96.720832 | 431.51 | 432.558 | 1.048 | 522 | 507-522 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-000084 | 5919302 | 30.72825799 | -96.63228299 | 338.475 | 341.389 | 2.914 | 45 | | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-000099 | 5925508 | 30.56916877 | -96.94772282 | 407.547 | 410.679 | 3.132 | 520 | 480-520 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-000107 | 5925102 | 30.60092771 | -96.98245292 | 418.686 | 412.085 | 6.601 | 860 | 767-782 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000115 | 5917715 | 30.64478641 | -96.98975007 | 464.906 | 467.225 | 2.319 | 152 | | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-000118 | 5917705 | 30.65152103 | -96.97810827 | 490.519 | 490.466 | 0.053 | 326 | 286-326 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-000121 | 5917714 | 30.6636122 | -96.99586304 | 470.619 | 475.06 | 4.441 | 380 | 238-370 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-000138 | 5917713 | 30.66643768 | -96.99596907 | 483.42 | 486.426 | 3.006 | 408 | 226-346, 356-408 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000170 | 5824914 | 30.65853727 | -97.01660555 | 493.854 | 494.692 | 0.838 | 295 | 153-233 | 189 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-000186 | 5909701 | 30.75880377 | -96.98529215 | 418.242 | 419.696 | 1.454 | 218 | 182-207 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-000221 | 5909605 | 30.82440777 | -96.88975952 | 421.249 | 424.626 | 3.377 | 503 | 340-500 | | Hooper | |
| PO-000223 | 5902706 | 30.8975886 | -96.85197846 | 358.439 | 359.155 | 0.716 | 313 | 235-250, 256-298 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-000234 | 5902309 | 30.98815237 | -96.75756383 | 298.856 | 296.725 | 2.131 | 499 | 165-417 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000236 | 5902307 | 30.96416896 | -96.7906947 | 415.891 | 416.976 | 1.085 | 450 | 410-450 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000256 | 5902901 | 30.88488941 | -96.77826311 | 368.456 | 370.326 | 1.87 | 318 | 284-308 | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-000268 | 5832101 | 30.62341574 | -97.08796275 | 476.908 | 473.52 | 3.388 | 60 | 40-60 | 43 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-000308 | 5927716 | 30.537221 | -96.741666 | 451.13 | 451.132 | 0.002 | 400 | | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-000341 | 5927606 | 30.57822262 | -96.65056693 | 393.771 | 393.711 | 0.06 | 820 | 558-820 | | Queen City | |
| PO-000433 | 5920410 | 30.69556044 | -96.61439123 | 298.204 | 298.742 | 0.538 | 920 | 688-710, 794-815 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-000434 | 5920409 | 30.689721 | -96.611388 | 299.31 | 299.278 | 0.032 | 230 | 188-230 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-000457 | 5919502 | 30.67928615 | -96.67380053 | 462.638 | 461.563 | 1.075 | 2018 | 1831-1959 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-000495 | 5926906 | 30.50112744 | -96.76791668 | 423.753 | 424.504 | 0.751 | 1197 | 1097-1197 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-000518 | 5927204 | 30.61904658 | -96.68645721 | 306.812 | 314.183 | 7.371 | 205 | 163-205 | 100 | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-000579 | 5937611 | 30.43212734 | -96.39778118 | 234.144 | 233.345 | 0.799 | 240 | 177-240 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-000596 | 5937329 | 30.48861 | -96.375554 | 214.69 | 214.695 | 0.005 | 58 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-000618 | 5937109 | 30.45982399 | -96.47012063 | 249.102 | 249.626 | 0.524 | 266 | 109-266 | 84 | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-000638 | 5937101 | 30.48886448 | -96.46550691 | 235.872 | 239.147 | 3.275 | 1620 | | | Sparta | |
| PO-000661 | 5936802 | 30.38674978 | -96.56455927 | 347.731 | 342.314 | 5.417 | 1609 | 1513-1573 | | Sparta | |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|---|------------|-----------------------|---------|
| PO-000691 | 5938709 | 30.39502546 | -96.34557306 | 266.279 | 270.12 | 3.841 | 513 | 468-502 | | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-000698 | 5943608 | 30.31062338 | -96.64638318 | 277.143 | 278.952 | 1.809 | 533 | 494-533 | | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-000787 | 5938701 | 30.4116894 | -96.35791463 | 204.723 | 205.066 | 0.343 | 56 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-000791 | 5935208 | 30.49635571 | -96.69195508 | 374.013 | 379.331 | 5.318 | 364 | 322-364 | | Sparta | Shallow |
| PO-000859 | 5929456 | 30.54365367 | -96.49377707 | 229.218 | 230.888 | 1.67 | 60 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-000860 | 5929457 | 30.54453934 | -96.49204734 | 229.531 | 230.914 | 1.383 | 60 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-000877 | 5928619 | 30.54532928 | -96.52552358 | 264.757 | 266.969 | 2.212 | 780 | 685-700, 719-765 | | Sparta | |
| PO-000894 | 5928601 | 30.57919226 | -96.54036821 | 241.137 | 240.129 | 1.008 | 58 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-000895 | 5928702 | 30.52905165 | -96.60856964 | 343.087 | 342.432 | 0.655 | 498 | 456-498 | | Sparta | |
| PO-000943 | 5934106 | 30.48849661 | -96.84368613 | 442.845 | 439.386 | 3.459 | 840 | 800-840 | 228 | Carrizo | |
| PO-001023 | 5929537 | 30.54909098 | -96.43687671 | 222.979 | 224.541 | 1.562 | 1090 | 1048-1090 | | Sparta | |
| PO-001061 | 5934608 | 30.4560173 | -96.78358516 | 425.981 | 427.4 | 1.419 | 814 | 740-800 | | Queen City | |
| PO-001062 | 5918101 | 30.71607715 | -96.86334496 | 565.668 | 566.532 | 0.864 | 790 | 689-770 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001063 | 5918104 | 30.71276886 | -96.86996867 | 556.675 | 561.286 | 4.611 | 800 | 650-780 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001064 | 5918908 | 30.63225934 | -96.78773986 | 511.279 | 517.433 | 6.154 | 1687 | 1490-1534, 1564-1620 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001066 | 5918705 | 30.64805722 | -96.85462057 | 574.698 | 580.741 | 6.043 | 800 | 540-645 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-001082 | 5911703 | 30.78715248 | -96.71687239 | 361.023 | 367.572 | 6.549 | 992 | 889-980 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001110 | 5824611 | 30.6712932 | -97.00403669 | 494.616 | 492.393 | 2.223 | 485 | 190-283, 343-383, 403-423, 463-483 | | Hooper | |
| PO-001111 | 5917803 | 30.6431789 | -96.92654485 | 486.947 | 487.392 | 0.445 | 1000 | 760-797, 800-837, 839-876, 879-916, 918-955, 957-994 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001112 | 5917606 | 30.6913108 | -96.89993447 | 507.334 | 505.795 | 1.539 | 598 | 551-596 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001117 | 5917712 | 30.6312 | -96.9901 | 460.34 | 459.774 | 0.566 | 475 | 270-450, 460-475 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001118 | 5917711 | 30.63488907 | -96.99095016 | 467.194 | 462.619 | 4.575 | 463 | 250-300, 345-443, 453-463 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-001120 | 5928105 | 30.59691916 | -96.60978524 | 350.212 | 351.665 | 1.453 | 1252 | 1104-1236 | 124 | Carrizo | |
| PO-001166 | 5929410 | 30.55802069 | -96.46997458 | 225.512 | 225.507 | 0.005 | 71 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-001197 | 5934107 | 30.48113821 | -96.87211655 | 443.601 | 440.719 | 2.882 | 370 | 150-170, 240-260, 340-360 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-001343 | 0 | 30.80174829 | -96.75859029 | 431.871 | 422.34 | 9.531 | 455 | 430-450 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001390 | 0 | 30.57157727 | -96.82933259 | 515.041 | 518.634 | 3.593 | 1120 | 980-1110 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001450 | 5832304 | 30.60845841 | -97.00739347 | 433.638 | 435.199 | 1.561 | 271 | 250-270 | 200 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-001486 | 0 | 30.66071898 | -97.0025699 | 458.014 | 456.913 | 1.101 | 182 | 162-182 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-001505 | 5831905 | 30.50795447 | -97.15797955 | 552.868 | 544.534 | 8.334 | 120 | 110-120 | 115 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-001573 | 5934601 | 30.43272328 | -96.75707861 | 380.725 | 384.636 | 3.911 | 784 | 734-774 | | Queen City | |
| PO-001575 | 5927718 | 30.52536285 | -96.72704397 | 450.56 | 449.804 | 0.756 | 1300 | 1252-1277 | | Carrizo | |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| PO-001628 | 0 | 30.79047875 | -96.75281324 | 454.636 | 449.345 | 5.291 | 446 | 427-442 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001786 | 0 | 30.79870716 | -96.7463505 | 418.729 | 414.733 | 3.996 | 436 | 406-426 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001789 | 5911403 | 30.79845783 | -96.74891122 | 451.931 | 437.012 | 14.919 | 515 | 487-507 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-001883 | 5832704 | 30.5065255 | -97.11855729 | 479.789 | 482.36 | 2.571 | 180 | 160-180 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-001947 | 0 | 30.66202338 | -97.03911788 | 492.404 | 497.586 | 5.182 | 360 | 340-360 | 240 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-001983 | 0 | 30.61075761 | -97.08670018 | 535.539 | 533.684 | 1.855 | 490 | 450-470 | 252 | Hooper | |
| PO-002014 | 5839303 | 30.48294217 | -97.12593551 | 480.308 | 476.626 | 3.682 | 182 | 162-182 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-002061 | 0 | 30.91047507 | -96.83047024 | 354.252 | 355.169 | 0.917 | 360 | 330-350 | 140 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-002152 | 5925409 | 30.56093711 | -96.99519457 | 465.164 | 467.125 | 1.961 | 480 | 450-470 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-002153 | 5925410 | 30.54361054 | -96.99507706 | 447.364 | 443.273 | 4.091 | 690 | 670-690 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-002173 | 5925103 | 30.60089424 | -96.9825538 | 418.574 | 411.718 | 6.856 | 420 | 400-420 | 140 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-002191 | 5917716 | 30.64475029 | -96.98945856 | 463.652 | 464.796 | 1.144 | 520 | 470-490 | | Hooper | |
| PO-002204 | 5917717 | 30.66097967 | -96.98058089 | 487.323 | 491.698 | 4.375 | 750 | 720-750 | | Hooper | |
| PO-002205 | 0 | 30.6577008 | -97.00827856 | 455.384 | 455.788 | 0.404 | 130 | 110-130 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-002217 | 0 | 30.66723762 | -96.9307966 | 472.543 | 470.923 | 1.62 | 938 | 918-938 | | Hooper | |
| PO-002355 | 0 | 30.74253616 | -96.72344884 | 384.03 | 386.644 | 2.614 | 514 | | 160 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-002423 | 5902904 | 30.90595341 | -96.77807363 | 405.931 | 400.524 | 5.407 | 240 | 180-220 | 180 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-002537 | 0 | 30.6371549 | -97.04740544 | 541.324 | 531.893 | 9.431 | 510 | 460-500 | 260 | Hooper | |
| PO-002538 | 5824915 | 30.63410247 | -97.00839222 | 456.389 | 463.724 | 7.335 | 188 | 163-183 | 180 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-002556 | 0 | 30.63144405 | -97.0480537 | 526.684 | 522.347 | 4.337 | 431 | 400-420 | 300 | Hooper | |
| PO-002659 | 0 | 30.793544 | -96.753895 | 483.92 | 481.707 | 2.213 | 470 | 430-450 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-003129 | 0 | 30.52683231 | -96.60392044 | 380.379 | 374.179 | 6.2 | 650 | 610-650 | 160 | Sparta | |
| PO-003430 | 0 | 30.5280792 | -96.87956221 | 357.715 | 358.792 | 1.077 | 360 | 320-360 | | Carrizo | Shallow |
| PO-004459 | 0 | 30.5065826 | -96.87711206 | 407.935 | 396.853 | 11.082 | 400 | 360-400 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-004968 | 0 | 30.56385169 | -96.76487577 | 454.542 | 452.254 | 2.288 | 160 | 130-150 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-005109 | 0 | 30.54743769 | -96.64794343 | 416.594 | 421.727 | 5.133 | 1235 | 1151-1235 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-005486 | 0 | 30.587439 | -96.764618 | 431.22 | 430.903 | 0.317 | 199 | 179-199 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-005899 | 0 | 30.42310911 | -96.79280477 | 364.992 | 369.255 | 4.263 | 300 | 260-300 | | Sparta | Shallow |
| PO-006090 | 0 | 30.55721 | -96.663818 | 400.02 | 400.924 | 0.904 | 620 | 580-620 | 168 | Queen City | |
| PO-006145 | 5927611 | 30.545711 | -96.637995 | 397.32 | 397.501 | 0.181 | 770 | 650-750 | 240 | Queen City | |
| PO-006153 | 0 | 30.54768768 | -96.65041623 | 418.02 | 422.478 | 4.458 | 620 | 580-620 | 231 | Queen City | |
| PO-006243 | 5925502 | 30.56444895 | -96.93862984 | 420.884 | 424.189 | 3.305 | 614 | 593-614 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-006305 | 5832908 | 30.53126591 | -97.02675593 | 434.243 | 438.864 | 4.621 | 344 | 324-344 | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-006330 | 0 | 30.79857327 | -96.75464201 | 446.688 | 441.564 | 5.124 | 410 | 384-404 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| PO-006483 | 0 | 30.44417714 | -96.709519 | 335.265 | 334.959 | 0.306 | 484 | 424-464 | 160 | Sparta | |
| PO-006586 | 5927309 | 30.613416 | -96.660202 | 379.83 | 382.172 | 2.342 | 260 | 240-260 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-006621 | 5926402 | 30.55262796 | -96.8605715 | 490.37 | 487.374 | 2.996 | 2020 | 1580-1780 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-006910 | 5926403 | 30.56483198 | -96.83474728 | 496.075 | 495.585 | 0.49 | 2200 | 1750-1950, 2060-2090 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-007085 | 0 | 30.79218027 | -96.74981097 | 460.007 | 452.566 | 7.441 | 520 | 490-510 | 320 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-007117 | 0 | 30.607372 | -97.090487 | 540 | 554.994 | 14.994 | 412 | 372-392 | 200 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-007183 | 0 | 30.48654469 | -96.71456578 | 336.207 | 340.676 | 4.469 | 570 | 480-560 | 200 | Queen City | |
| PO-007197 | 0 | 30.473 | -96.7359 | 369.77 | 369.453 | 0.317 | 780 | | | Queen City | |
| PO-007242 | 0 | 30.6537196 | -96.93648193 | 510.724 | 512.115 | 1.391 | 562 | 542-562 | 160 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-007283 | 0 | 30.96100958 | -96.84263146 | 408.979 | 409.947 | 0.968 | 235 | 196-235 | 180 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-007285 | 0 | 30.53384685 | -96.91312658 | 369.728 | 362.245 | 7.483 | 460 | 400-440 | 160 | Carrizo | |
| PO-007363 | 5832404 | 30.55655401 | -97.08849344 | 493.539 | 494.191 | 0.652 | 174 | 154-174 | 108 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-007364 | 5824612 | 30.6845564 | -97.04007764 | 433.131 | 432.264 | 0.867 | 180 | 160-180 | 160 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-007390 | 0 | 30.46822145 | -96.67232015 | 354.476 | 360.85 | 6.374 | 420 | 400-420 | 100 | Sparta | |
| PO-007506 | 5824610 | 30.67155883 | -97.00396812 | 493.351 | 491.382 | 1.969 | 392 | 165-193, 196-259, 339-390 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-007585 | 0 | 30.45532459 | -96.69666947 | 393.668 | 395.531 | 1.863 | 533 | 433-533 | | Sparta | |
| PO-007586 | 0 | 30.45605977 | -96.69486194 | 375.487 | 376.442 | 0.955 | 415 | 373-415 | 147 | Sparta | |
| PO-007587 | 0 | 30.43318291 | -96.70228866 | 332.549 | 333.502 | 0.953 | 550 | 450-530 | | Sparta | |
| PO-007601 | 0 | 30.52411794 | -96.60192667 | 368.232 | 368.909 | 0.677 | 895 | 855-895 | 200 | Sparta | |
| PO-007603 | 5928701 | 30.52287855 | -96.60332415 | 359.315 | 360.068 | 0.753 | 553 | 328-553 | 126 | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-007614 | 0 | 30.79943894 | -96.75191642 | 432.435 | 423.01 | 9.425 | 460 | 435-455 | 240 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-007773 | 5910910 | 30.78752261 | -96.76500977 | 436.368 | 431.83 | 4.538 | 430 | 405-424 | 280 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-007774 | 5910705 | 30.77987709 | -96.86240901 | 437.87 | 441.06 | 3.19 | 560 | 493-535 | 240 | Simsboro | |
| PO-007793 | 5925103 | 30.60088 | -96.98249 | 412.08 | 412.085 | 0.005 | 420 | 400-420 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-007838 | 0 | 30.58309908 | -97.11968354 | 550.963 | 553.678 | 2.715 | 194 | 144-184 | 135 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-007965 | 5929408 | 30.56376009 | -96.47961101 | 227.533 | 230.676 | 3.143 | 1200 | | | Queen City | |
| PO-007998 | 5910908 | 30.78991883 | -96.76307538 | 502.396 | 494.196 | 8.2 | 460 | 435-455 | 360 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008037 | 0 | 30.8000214 | -96.74501244 | 405.205 | 401.657 | 3.548 | 430 | 405-425 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008038 | 0 | 30.44468162 | -96.6559384 | 289.594 | 294.09 | 4.496 | 145 | 124-145 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-008073 | 0 | 30.54541867 | -96.72901361 | 391.56 | 391.048 | 0.512 | 1001 | 796-976 | 220 | Carrizo | |
| PO-008095 | 0 | 30.63275314 | -96.90704404 | 498.545 | 498.371 | 0.174 | 433 | 408-428 | 220 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008096 | 5831906 | 30.51927512 | -97.12854274 | 544.096 | 549.688 | 5.592 | 547 | 522-542 | 240 | Hooper | |
| PO-008149 | 0 | 30.66494625 | -96.8281506 | 498.43 | 504.489 | 6.059 | 770 | 739-759 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008151 | 5917804 | 30.64344788 | -96.94294449 | 475.097 | 477.829 | 2.732 | 385 | | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------|
| PO-008153 | 0 | 30.78811304 | -96.76189723 | 497.618 | 495.68 | 1.938 | 454 | 429-449 | 320 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008172 | 5831904 | 30.51382987 | -97.16451247 | 577.707 | 579.212 | 1.505 | 370 | 330-370 | 140 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-008213 | 0 | 30.35473571 | -96.717394 | 322.329 | 323.573 | 1.244 | 440 | 180-200, 340-360, 420-440 | | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-008239 | 5928804 | 30.53670658 | -96.57830121 | 300.176 | 301.686 | 1.51 | 460 | 418-460 | | Sparta | |
| PO-008245 | 0 | 30.80273828 | -96.74626747 | 417.592 | 416.797 | 0.795 | 397 | 370-390 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-008274 | 5902311 | 30.96748977 | -96.77722287 | 369.175 | 372.342 | 3.167 | 445 | 424-444 | 220 | Hooper | |
| PO-008276 | 0 | 30.80989974 | -96.75933791 | 383.962 | 373.853 | 10.109 | 450 | 426-446 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008281 | 0 | 30.7863761 | -96.75711104 | 470.772 | 462.331 | 8.441 | 420 | 395-415 | 360 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008388 | 5943104 | 30.35524849 | -96.71727112 | 323.408 | 324.384 | 0.976 | 3988 | 3600-3800 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-008415 | 5929433 | 30.54465496 | -96.4987259 | 231.407 | 233.582 | 2.175 | 59 | | | Brazos River Alluvium | Shallow |
| PO-008420 | 0 | 30.33944067 | -96.53676064 | 249.869 | 252.981 | 3.112 | 197 | 157-197 | 147 | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-008449 | 5943312 | 30.339005 | -96.66233353 | 324.796 | 326.642 | 1.846 | 362 | 269-340 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-008451 | 5925408 | 30.56314038 | -96.96224882 | 380.984 | 381.934 | 0.95 | 690 | 300-380, 620-680 | 273 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008456 | 5936210 | 30.47889446 | -96.55313236 | 361.665 | 359.654 | 2.011 | 1070 | 896-955, 983-1017 | | Sparta | |
| PO-008658 | 5910706 | 30.77321676 | -96.84292261 | 449.799 | 448.354 | 1.445 | 528 | 508-528 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-008678 | 5943305 | 30.34643961 | -96.65393701 | 284.479 | 282.378 | 2.101 | 367 | 258-367 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-008680 | 5943304 | 30.34373486 | -96.65698492 | 306.042 | 294.498 | 11.544 | 370 | 280-370 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-008767 | 5934108 | 30.4835624 | -96.86044968 | 410.718 | 409.332 | 1.386 | 2230 | 1800-2100 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-008772 | 0 | 30.9368957 | -96.84052084 | 364.368 | 362.401 | 1.967 | 120 | 60-120 | 112 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-008795 | 0 | 30.93485908 | -96.84278113 | 376.06 | 377.945 | 1.885 | 279 | 256-279 | 200 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-008802 | 0 | 30.57455659 | -96.65418334 | 413.03 | 415.799 | 2.769 | 700 | 600-700 | 240 | Queen City | |
| PO-008823 | 0 | 30.762068 | -96.741154 | 391.73 | 391.732 | 0.002 | 570 | 485-505 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008840 | 0 | 30.78123985 | -96.76078679 | 485.178 | 484.015 | 1.163 | 420 | 400-420 | 340 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008845 | 0 | 30.57677896 | -96.65771242 | 427.318 | 428.79 | 1.472 | 700 | 660-700 | 240 | Queen City | |
| PO-008865 | 0 | 30.651916 | -97.06174816 | 412.353 | 413.754 | 1.401 | 160 | 120-140 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-008907 | 0 | 30.46804499 | -96.67236818 | 355.633 | 361.909 | 6.276 | 900 | 879-900 | 400 | Queen City | |
| PO-008935 | 5901904 | 30.91313029 | -96.88624359 | 388.828 | 389.966 | 1.138 | 80 | 64-74 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-008945 | 0 | 30.78756573 | -96.75467498 | 460.897 | 461.553 | 0.656 | 465 | 440-460 | 300 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008959 | 5918602 | 30.6814902 | -96.78681859 | 437.941 | 441.481 | 3.54 | 810 | 790-810 | 260 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-008971 | 0 | 30.53393966 | -96.91331094 | 362.038 | 363.245 | 1.207 | 840 | 820-840 | 200 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009064 | 5928343 | 30.60381256 | -96.53629301 | 241.712 | 241.487 | 0.225 | 3255 | 2400-2410, 2750-2760 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009094 | 0 | 30.93934091 | -96.84131325 | 377.808 | 377.653 | 0.155 | 315 | 200-300 | 189 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009095 | 5910707 | 30.77133463 | -96.84647456 | 422.591 | 420.83 | 1.761 | 580 | 550-570 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009101 | 0 | 30.45299833 | -96.70392637 | 360.572 | 361.653 | 1.081 | 440 | 420-440 | | Sparta | |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| PO-009104 | 5928342 | 30.60673158 | -96.53418171 | 243.704 | 242.985 | 0.719 | 380 | 340-380 | 260 | Sparta | Shallow |
| PO-009157 | 5936809 | 30.3919197 | -96.55626212 | 299.429 | 291.943 | 7.486 | 740 | 520-580 | 200 | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-009162 | 0 | 30.93488714 | -96.84477648 | 401.232 | 401.277 | 0.045 | 303 | 245-265 | 168 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009166 | 5918108 | 30.71145338 | -96.86251633 | 505.76 | 504.566 | 1.194 | 1240 | 1178-1220 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009167 | 5918109 | 30.71146979 | -96.86247024 | 505.277 | 504.566 | 0.711 | 140 | 90-130 | | Carrizo | Shallow |
| PO-009189 | 0 | 30.49561012 | -96.85483434 | 461.677 | 459.49 | 2.187 | 1078 | 1008-1029, 1033-1054, 1057-1078 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009215 | 5925904 | 30.51114358 | -96.89717521 | 389.807 | 387.657 | 2.15 | 2724 | 1560-1570, 2100-2110, 2130-2140 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009230 | 5925302 | 30.59706764 | -96.87960537 | 525.711 | 528.102 | 2.391 | 2491 | 1590-1600, 1710-1720 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009327 | 5901905 | 30.90657809 | -96.88883699 | 364.538 | 366.963 | 2.425 | 140 | 120-140 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009346 | 5925905 | 30.54054845 | -96.90712752 | 392.878 | 393.307 | 0.429 | 80 | 50-70 | 60 | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-009372 | 5925906 | 30.54111022 | -96.90482822 | 418.296 | 422.425 | 4.129 | 120 | 80-100 | 65 | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-009387 | 0 | 30.60444549 | -96.70974987 | 364.192 | 362.041 | 2.151 | 580 | 490-570 | | Reklaw | |
| PO-009404 | 0 | 30.46508436 | -96.66799149 | 313.119 | 315.712 | 2.593 | 520 | 480-520 | | Sparta | |
| PO-009431 | 0 | 30.56948411 | -96.73764602 | 384.484 | 382.705 | 1.779 | 820 | 790-810 | 200 | Carrizo | |
| PO-009445 | 5934609 | 30.4277598 | -96.762799 | 361.581 | 361.25 | 0.331 | 500 | 280-320, 365-395 | | Sparta | Shallow |
| PO-009446 | 5925511 | 30.57237672 | -96.92067218 | 417.45 | 422.454 | 5.004 | 2350 | 1620-1630, 1706-1716, 1870-1880 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009448 | 0 | 30.433528 | -96.739328 | 0 | 431.142 | 431.142 | | | | No Assignment | |
| PO-009453 | 0 | 30.62406596 | -97.04865448 | 502.08 | 493.534 | 8.546 | 440 | 415-435 | 260 | Hooper | |
| PO-009467 | 0 | 30.80173495 | -96.75484591 | 440.975 | 435.43 | 5.545 | 290 | 180-260 | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-009468 | 0 | 30.76017082 | -96.65146466 | 327.951 | 329.06 | 1.109 | 470 | 360-440 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-009475 | 0 | 30.60693173 | -96.87125141 | 504.033 | 505.375 | 1.342 | 685 | 550-560, 600-610 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-009477 | 0 | 30.40075156 | -96.76052156 | 354.875 | 360.358 | 5.483 | 520 | 424-520 | | Sparta | |
| PO-009478 | 0 | 30.523029 | -96.604352 | 365 | 363.351 | 1.649 | | | | No Assignment | |
| PO-009480 | 5831907 | 30.51973958 | -97.12876525 | 545.178 | 551.905 | 6.727 | 235 | 205-235 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009486 | 0 | 30.52303385 | -96.60432163 | 363.242 | 363.338 | 0.096 | 630 | 610-630 | 300 | Sparta | |
| PO-009487 | 0 | 30.68111475 | -97.03538502 | 473.555 | 471.89 | 1.665 | 151 | 135-151 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009493 | 0 | 30.82537242 | -96.6521174 | 288.852 | 294.954 | 6.102 | 270 | 180-260 | 165 | Carrizo | Shallow |
| PO-009495 | 0 | 30.6493727 | -96.97902725 | 477.144 | 476.504 | 0.64 | 320 | 280-320 | 200 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009497 | 0 | 30.917406 | -96.830408 | 382 | 378.963 | 3.037 | 142 | 115-135 | 128 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009540 | 0 | 30.79590053 | -96.75550042 | 482.22 | 463.585 | 18.635 | 440 | 415-435 | 360 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009545 | 0 | 30.81370459 | -96.91570143 | 441.741 | 441.876 | 0.135 | 180 | 140-160 | | Simsboro | Shallow |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| PO-009551 | 0 | 30.74218341 | -96.92213803 | 411.148 | 411.571 | 0.423 | 220 | 160-180 | | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-009552 | 0 | 30.79038147 | -96.75469029 | 456.039 | 459.938 | 3.899 | 460 | 435-455 | 380 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009553 | 0 | 30.74972819 | -96.97403359 | 436.598 | 438.727 | 2.129 | 230 | 198-218 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009555 | 0 | 30.74970036 | -96.97402754 | 436.671 | 438.334 | 1.663 | 118 | 90-110 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009556 | 0 | 30.96154625 | -96.84377936 | 407.221 | 405.029 | 2.192 | 128 | 81-120 | 80 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009559 | 0 | 30.679167 | -96.822778 | 464 | 465.139 | 1.139 | 700 | 670-690 | 280 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009588 | 0 | 30.333743 | -97.230485 | 499 | 554.852 | 55.852 | 500 | 459-479 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009597 | 0 | 30.41487714 | -97.17859953 | 443.044 | 442.531 | 0.513 | 136 | 104-134 | 80 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009599 | 0 | 30.436259 | -97.08414 | 402.1 | 461.066 | 58.966 | | | | No Assignment | |
| PO-009601 | 0 | 30.43622539 | -97.0841041 | 463.273 | 461.066 | 2.207 | 544 | 474-534 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009602 | 0 | 30.448608 | -97.119628 | 438 | 447.191 | 9.191 | 438 | | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009604 | 0 | 30.681111 | -96.822778 | 446 | 450.76 | 4.76 | 680 | 657-677 | 240 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009606 | 0 | 30.44849867 | -97.119669 | 446.748 | 447.365 | 0.617 | 255 | 235-255 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009651 | 0 | 30.34349088 | -96.53796675 | 249.431 | 249.987 | 0.556 | 850 | 380-420, 500-540, 580-620, 720-760 | 357 | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-009706 | 0 | 30.63488005 | -96.99093861 | 470.817 | 462.619 | 8.198 | 419 | 265-305, 365-420 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009707 | 0 | 30.60509285 | -96.54549928 | 235.626 | 235.527 | 0.099 | 870 | 438-522, 549-590, 632-800, 813-855 | 336 | Queen City | |
| PO-009708 | 0 | 30.42894886 | -96.80690844 | 358.145 | 361.908 | 3.763 | 504 | 482-502 | | Queen City | |
| PO-009709 | 0 | 30.43575571 | -96.80409075 | 362.531 | 365.571 | 3.04 | 455 | 433-453 | | Queen City | |
| PO-009710 | 0 | 30.41466274 | -96.81686961 | 312.559 | 312.936 | 0.377 | 499 | 477-497 | | Queen City | |
| PO-009716 | 5917510 | 30.69608317 | -96.91801394 | 450.205 | 449.761 | 0.444 | 500 | 378-418 | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009745 | 5824916 | 30.63399994 | -97.03610808 | 495.75 | 480.074 | 15.676 | 157 | 127-157 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009747 | 0 | 30.333743 | -97.230485 | 558 | 554.852 | 3.148 | 500 | 459-479 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009748 | 0 | 30.378317 | -97.21891 | 453 | 438.835 | 14.165 | 300 | 280-300 | | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009749 | 5840704 | 30.412727 | -97.098625 | 462.3 | 401.903 | 60.397 | 454 | 433-454 | | Simsboro | |
| PO-009751 | 0 | 30.53119917 | -96.99522244 | 422.079 | 414.217 | 7.862 | 620 | | | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009752 | 0 | 30.79607953 | -96.75313836 | 451.851 | 450.304 | 1.547 | 435 | 405-425 | 300 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009753 | 5832705 | 30.50956802 | -97.12010935 | 493.304 | 492.601 | 0.703 | 185 | 175-185 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009754 | 5832706 | 30.51863182 | -97.1082234 | 476.037 | 476.787 | 0.75 | 123 | 103-123 | 100 | Calvert Bluff | Shallow |
| PO-009755 | 5917411 | 30.69896785 | -96.9727771 | 430.766 | 430.25 | 0.516 | 113 | 93-113 | 100 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009767 | 0 | 30.88893944 | -96.72498905 | 356.509 | 353.755 | 2.754 | 685 | | 270 | Calvert Bluff | |
| PO-009768 | 0 | 30.94695534 | -96.79419959 | 374.35 | 371.929 | 2.421 | 314 | 284-294 | 168 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009769 | 5925512 | 30.5693455 | -96.94911864 | 395.986 | 400.025 | 4.039 | 734 | 694-734 | 100 | Calvert Bluff | |

| POSGCD Well ID | SWN | Latitude | Longitude | Surface Elevation | Surface Elevation | Difference in Surface | Depth | Screen Interval | Pump Depth | POSGCD Aquifer | Shallow |
|----------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| PO-009770 | 5839510 | 30.45787784 | -97.1831314 | 531.546 | 531.296 | 0.25 | 138 | 118-138 | 120 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-009774 | 0 | 30.43360857 | -96.82499855 | 377.934 | 381.585 | 3.651 | 347 | 274-314 | | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-009781 | 0 | 30.95040363 | -96.83505186 | 445.436 | 447.037 | 1.601 | 148 | 120-140 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009790 | 5928702 | 30.529199 | -96.60862 | 347 | 341.921 | 5.079 | 498 | | | Sparta | |
| PO-009806 | 0 | 30.93665456 | -96.84381657 | 372.218 | 372.289 | 0.071 | 115 | 48-108 | 96.5 | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009807 | 0 | 30.47797641 | -96.86016383 | 412.912 | 409.886 | 3.026 | 890 | 660-740, 760-800, 830-870 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-009808 | 0 | 30.8493318 | -96.92166011 | 367.127 | 368.58 | 1.453 | 151.6 | 131-151.6 | | Hooper | Shallow |
| PO-009812 | 0 | 30.43257956 | -96.53188411 | 293.971 | 295.795 | 1.824 | 260 | 200-240 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-009824 | 0 | 30.96914022 | -96.78057395 | 377.766 | 377.251 | 0.515 | 460 | 430-450 | 240 | Simsboro | |
| PO-010881 | 0 | 30.46649005 | -96.66672465 | 292.277 | 299.104 | 6.827 | 228 | 196-228 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-010899 | 5920409 | 30.68983199 | -96.61143717 | 297.254 | 299.362 | 2.108 | 230 | 188-230 | 105 | Queen City | Shallow |
| PO-010921 | 0 | 30.37629577 | -96.68273318 | 335.201 | 335.965 | 0.764 | 410 | 340-400 | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-010924 | 0 | 30.32978782 | -96.66338856 | 302.092 | 301.611 | 0.481 | 350 | | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |
| PO-010937 | 5911607 | 30.82377816 | -96.65498277 | 304.332 | 302.02 | 2.312 | 276 | | | Carrizo | Shallow |
| PO-010970 | 0 | 30.550286 | -96.71384 | 382 | 382.074 | 0.074 | 990 | | | Carrizo | |
| PO-010971 | 0 | 30.43222575 | -96.81585684 | 342.242 | 349.041 | 6.799 | 461 | 437-457 | | Queen City | |
| PO-011022 | 0 | 30.44197034 | -96.41051349 | 239.515 | 247.255 | 7.74 | 570 | 550-570 | | Yegua - Jackson | |
| PO-011032 | 0 | 30.64815247 | -96.85467983 | 575.418 | 580.51 | 5.092 | 1744 | 1462-1546, 1588-1715 | 486 | Simsboro | |
| PO-011118 | 0 | 30.49845462 | -96.85679717 | 475.906 | 470.799 | 5.107 | 2742 | 2600-2660 | | Hooper | |
| PO-011143 | 0 | 30.51850172 | -97.12697858 | 526.735 | 525.886 | 0.849 | 165 | 125-165 | 120 | Simsboro | Shallow |
| PO-011234 | 0 | 30.5631525 | -96.65674943 | 398.956 | 402.117 | 3.161 | 300 | 210-290 | | Sparta | Shallow |
| PO-011279 | 0 | 30.44711105 | -96.78997558 | 418.474 | 417.58 | 0.894 | 1244 | 944-1244 | | Carrizo | |
| PO-011283 | 0 | 30.462071 | -97.149871 | 441.29 | 441.302 | 0.012 | 440 | 409-430 | | Hooper | |
| PO-011306 | 0 | 30.36621535 | -96.54019526 | 289.425 | 289.084 | 0.341 | 0 | | | Yegua - Jackson | Shallow |

APPENDIX D

Listing of high-priority wells and wells of concern

This page is intentionally blank

| | Aquifor | Water L feet at | evel is les ove Pum | ss than 15 p in Year | High-Priority | Well of |
|-----------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Well ID | Aquiler | 2019 | 2022 | 2029 | Well | Concern |
| PO-009005 | Sparta | yes | no | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009288 | Sparta | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009474 | Sparta | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009558 | Sparta | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-006277 | Queen City | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-006897 | Queen City | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008700 | Queen City | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-008799 | Queen City | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009033 | Queen City | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009372 | Queen City | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-000475 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-000943 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-001120 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-003444 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-004976 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-005472 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-006282 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-006350 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-006405 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008053 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008054 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008246 | Carrizo | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-008271 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008322 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008326 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008793 | Carrizo | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-008794 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008797 | Carrizo | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-008805 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008826 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008884 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008913 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008922 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008923 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008964 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009067 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009084 | Carrizo | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009242 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |

| | | Water L feet at | evel is les ove Pum | ss than 15 p in Year | High-Priority | Well of |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Well ID | Aquiter | 2019 | 2022 | 2029 | Well | Concern |
| PO-009332 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009431 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009526 | Carrizo | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009570 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009572 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009787 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-010918 | Carrizo | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-010952 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-011373 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-011381 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-011383 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-011384 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-011385 | Carrizo | no | yes | yes | yes | no |
| PO-007242 | Calvert Bluff | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-008659 | Calvert Bluff | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009125 | Calvert Bluff | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-009377 | Calvert Bluff | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009607 | Calvert Bluff | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009724 | Calvert Bluff | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-000268 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-001883 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-002014 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-002205 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-007363 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-007378 | Simsboro | no | no | yes | yes | no |
| PO-007641 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009597 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009753 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009754 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-011143 | Simsboro | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-008207 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009241 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009527 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009658 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-009741 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| PO-011076 | Hooper | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |

APPENDIX E

Hydrographs for High-Priority Wells

This page is intentionally blank


Figure E-1 Simulated water levels for high-priority well PO-006897 located in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure E-2 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-000475 and PO-000943 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-3 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-001120 and PO-003444 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-4 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-004976 and PO-005472 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-5 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-006282 and PO-006350 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-6 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-006405 and PO-008053 located in the Carrizo Aquifer

Post Oak Savannah GCD Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment 2020



Figure E-7 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008054 and PO-008271 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-8 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008322 and PO-008326 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-9 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008794 and PO-008805 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-10 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008826 and PO-008884 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-11 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008913 and PO-008922 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-12 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-008923 and PO-008964 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-13 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-009067 and PO-009242 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-14 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-009332 and PO-009431 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-15 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-009526 and PO-009570 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-16 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-009572 and PO-009787 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-17 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-010952 and PO-011373 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-18 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-011381 and PO-011383 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-19 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-011384 and PO-011385 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure E-20 Simulated water levels for high-priority wells PO-007242 and PO-009125 located in the Carrizo Aquifer







Figure E-22 Simulated water levels for high-priority well PO-007378 located in the Simsboro Aquifer

This page is intentionally blank

APPENDIX F

Hydrographs for Wells of Concern

This page is intentionally blank



Figure F-1 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009005 and PO-009288 located in the Sparta Aquifer



Figure F-2 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009474 and PO-009558 located in the Sparta Aquifer



Figure F-3 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-006277 and PO-008700 located in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure F-4 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-008799 and PO-009033 located in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure F-5 Simulated water levels for well of concern PO-009372 located in the Queen City Aquifer



Figure F-6 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-008246 and PO-008793 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure F-7 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-008797 and PO-009084 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure F-8 Simulated water levels for well of concern PO-010918 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure F-9 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-008659 and PO-009377 located in the Calvert Bluff Aquifer



Figure F-10 Simulated water levels for well of concern PO-009607 located in the Calvert Bluff Aquifer



Figure F-11 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-002014 and PO-002205 located in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure F-12 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-007363 and PO-007641 located in the Simsboro Aquifer


Figure F-13 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009597 and PO-009753 located in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure F-14 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009754 and PO-011143 located in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure F-15 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-000268 and PO-001883 located in the Simsboro Aquifer



Figure F-16 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-008207 and PO-009241 located in the Hooper Aquifer



Figure F-17 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009527 and PO-009658 located in the Hooper Aquifer



Figure F-18 Simulated water levels for wells of concern PO-009741 and PO-011076 located in the Hooper Aquifer

APPENDIX G

Listing of moderate-priority wells in the Carrizo Aquifer

This page is intentionally blank

| WellId |
|-----------|
| PO-000302 |
| PO-000315 |
| PO-000325 |
| PO-000393 |
| PO-000488 |
| PO-000493 |
| PO-000496 |
| PO-000497 |
| PO-000506 |
| PO-000510 |
| PO-000525 |
| PO-000625 |
| PO-001331 |
| PO-001342 |
| PO-001365 |
| PO-003430 |
| PO-003437 |
| PO-004965 |
| PO-004971 |
| PO-004998 |
| PO-005098 |
| PO-005102 |
| PO-005109 |
| PO-005113 |
| PO-005114 |
| PO-005178 |
| PO-005214 |
| PO-005218 |
| PO-005224 |
| PO-005231 |
| PO-005244 |
| PO-005246 |
| PO-005249 |
| PO-005252 |
| PO-005490 |
| PO-005728 |

| PO-005729 |
|-----------|
| PO-005754 |
| PO-005759 |
| PO-005763 |
| PO-005813 |
| PO-005814 |
| PO-005816 |
| PO-005817 |
| PO-006049 |
| PO-006058 |
| PO-006551 |
| PO-006815 |
| PO-006816 |
| PO-007246 |
| PO-007332 |
| PO-009239 |
| PO-009386 |
| PO-009449 |
| PO-010970 |
| PO-011380 |

APPENDIX H

Hydrographs for Moderate-Priority Wells in the Carrizo Aquifer

This page is intentionally blank



Figure H-1 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000302 and PO-000315 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-2 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000325 and PO-000393 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-3 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000488 and PO-000493 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-4 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000496 and PO-000497 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-5 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000506 and PO-000510 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-6 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-000525 and PO-000625 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-7 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-001331 and PO-001342 located in the Carrizo Aguifer



Figure H-8 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-001365 and PO-003430 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-9 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-003437 and PO-04965 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-10 Simulated

Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-004971 and PO-004998 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-11 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005098 and PO-005102 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-12 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005109 and PO-005113 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-13 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005114 and PO-005178 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-14 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005214 and PO-005218 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-15 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005224 and PO-005231 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-16 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005244 and PO-005246 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-17 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005249 and PO-005252 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-18 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005490 and PO-005728 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-19 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005729 and PO-005754 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-20 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005759 and PO-005763 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-21 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005813 and PO-005814 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-22 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-005816 and PO-005817 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-23 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-006049 and PO-006058 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-24 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-006551 and PO-006815 located in the Carrizo Aquifer


Figure H-25 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-006816 and PO-007246 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-26 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-007332 and PO-009239 located in the Carrizo Aquifer

Post Oak Savannah GCD Groundwater Assistance Program Annual Needs Assessment 2020



Figure H-27 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-009386 and PO-009449 located in the Carrizo Aquifer



Figure H-28 Simulated water levels for moderate-priority wells PO-010970 and PO-011380 located in the Carrizo Aquifer