Desired Future Committee Update



December 4, 2020

Outline

- Vista Ridge Modeling Update
- GWAP Annual Needs Assessment Report
- Compliance for DFCs and PDLs
- Update on Rule 16.4 Thresholds
- Sparta/Queen City/Carrizo-Wilcox GAM Runs and Options for DFC consideration

Vista Ridge Modeling Update

Vista Ridge Pumping Through October 2020

Month	Monthly acre-feet					
WOITT	Carrizo	Simsboro	Total			
Nov 2019	19	119	138			
Dec 2019	80	194	274			
Jan 2020	367	1,286	1,653			
Feb 2020	476	1,521	1,997			
Mar 2020	14	62	76			
Apr 2020	440	1,254	1,694			
May 2020	447	1,390	1,837			
Jun 2020	448	1,471	1,919			
Jul 2020	774	2,230	3,004			
Aug 2020	1,151	3,175	4,326			
Sept 2020	1261	3204	4,464			
Oct 2020	1,312	3,495	4,807			
Avg. Monthly Permit	1,250	2,994	4,244			



Location of Transducers



Sparta & Queen City (Dec 2019 to October 2020)



Carrizo (Dec 2019 to October 2020)



Calvert Bluff (Dec 2019 to October 2020)





Observations

- Measured drawdowns are about the same or less than simulated by groundwater model - - no surprises
- No distinguishable drawdown impacts in Sparta or Queen City attributed to Vista Ridge Production
- Groundwater model simulated drawdowns
 - similar in magnitude than measured values in Carrizo Aquifer
 - greater in magnitude than measured values in Simsboro Aquifer
- Assumptions for groundwater model simulations
 - Monthly time step
 - Only considers pumping from Vista Ridge wells

GWAP Annual Needs Assessment(GANA) Report

GANA

The objective of the GANA is to identify eligible wells where water levels are likely to decline below the elevation of the pump setting as a result of regional groundwater production in GMA 12 within the next 10 years.

Eligibility Requirements

- Located in Milam or Burleson counties
- Functional and registered with the District
- Accessible for monitoring water levels by POSGCD
- Owner must agree to allow monitoring by POSGCD
- Either a low-capacity permitted well that produces less than 50 gallons per minute (gpm) OR an exempt well used for domestic and/or livestock use as defined in the District's Rules
- Completed in any aquifer in the District other than the Trinity Aquifer, Yegua-Jackson Aquifer and river alluvial or terraced formations
- May not be covered by a mitigation agreement included in a permit issued by the District or required by the State of Texas

Approach

- Simulate water levels using recently modified SP/QC/CW GAM
- Future pumping using a slightly modified PS-7



High-Priority Wells

high-priority well meet the following two conditions:

(1) the simulated water level is greater than 15 ft above the pump elevation in 2019, and (2) the simulated water level is less than 15 ft above the pump elevation in 2029.

	Eligible Wells w/Pump Info		Simulated Water Level Elevation Relative to Pump Elevation							
Aquifer		Year	<15 ft above pump	<10 ft above pump	<5 ft above pump	> 5 ft below pump	>20 ft below pump	>25 ft below pump	>50 ft below pump	> 100 ft below pump
Sparta	116	2029	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Queen City	127	2029	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Carrizo	80	2029	36	36	35	30	28	24	13	3
Calvert Bluff	168	2029	3	4	2	2	1	1	0	0
Simsboro	44	2029	1	0	0	1	4	4	0	0
Hooper	140	2029	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

41 high-priority wells

Calvert Bluff





0 high-priority well

3 high-priority well

Carrizo





10 Teal Diawdown

36 high-priority well

26 high-priority well

Evaluation of Wells Without Pump Information

Number of Wells Encircled by the 100 ft Drawdown Contour in 2029*							
(a) Wells with Pump Information	(b) Number of High Priority Well	(c) Percent of Wells with Pump Information that are High-Priority Wells	(d) Number of Wells with No Pump Information	(e) Number of wells in Column (d) that are moderate-risk wells based on the percentage in Column (c)			
58	34	59%	56	33			



Eligible Wells

- x WL > 15 ft above pump in 2029 (n=24)
- × WL < 15 ft above pump in 2029 (n=34)
- WL < 15 ft above pump in 2019 (n=1)</p>
- No Pump Depth Information (n=56)
- 10 Year Drawdown

Example Hydrographs

Summary of Carrizo Well Impacts

- Well with Pump Info
 - 36 high-priority wells (2029);
 - 26 estimated to have problems in 2022
- Wells without Pump Info
 - 56 wells with >100 ft drawdown from 2019 to 2029
 - 22 wells estimated to have low water levels in 2022
 - 33 wells estimated to have low water levels in 2029
- Total wells that may need corrective actions
 - 48 wells from 2019 to 2022
 - 69 wells from 2019 to 2029

Compliance for DFCs and PDLs

Compliance with POSGCD Shallow PDLs

- Expected Drawdown (linear interpolation) Threshold 1 (50%)
- Desired Future Condition
- Avg Drawdown (2D Surface)

Compliance with POSGCD DFCs

Current Model Available Groundwater (MAGs)

		Modeled available groundwater in acre-ft/year						
GAM	Aquifer	(AFY)						
		2010	2020	2030	2040	2050	2060	
Brazos River	GMA 8: Declared a Non-Relevant Aquifer	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Alluvium	GMA 12: Milam and Burleson County ¹	25,138	25,138	25,138	25,138	25,138	25,138	
	Paluxy ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Aquifers in	Glen Rose ²	149	149	149	149	149	149	
Trinity GAM	Hensell ²	36	36	36	36	36	36	
	Hosston ²	103	103	103	103	103	103	
	Subtotal	288	288	288	288	288	288	
	Sparta ³	1,570	2,245	4,041	5,612	6,734	6,734	
Aquifers in	Queen City ⁴	430	468	502	502	502	502	
the Oueen	Carrizo ⁵	4,025	4,706	5,177	6,118	6,353	7,059	
City/Sparta	Upper Wilcox (Calvert	502	1,038	1,038	1,038	1,038	1,038	
Cay/ Spara GAM	Middle Wilcox	36,507	38,468	37,899	40,041	46,027	48,501	
0AM	Lower Wilcox (Hooper	899	2,960	4,139	4,433	4,433	4,422	
	Subtotal	43,933	<i>49,885</i>	52,796	57,744	65,087	68,256	
Yegua- Jackson Aquifer	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer ⁶	12,923	12,923	12,923	12,923	12,923	12,923	
	TOTAL	82,282	88,234	91,145	96,093	103,43	106,605	

Section 16.4 Threshold Exceedances

Threshold	Description	Aquifer(s)
-----------	-------------	------------

- Level 1 > 50% of DFCs Sparta (28 ft)
- Level 1 > PDLs in 15 years Carrizo (20 ft), Calvert Bluff (20 ft), Simsboro (20 ft)
- Level 1 > 60% of MAG Simsboro (38,468 AFY)
- Level 2 > 70% of MAG Queen City (468 AFY), Carrizo(4,706 AFY)
 - Note 1: Modeled Available Groundwater(MAG) is for 2020 Desired Future Conditions (DFC) is for 2070 Protective Drawdown Limit (PDL) is for 2070
 - Note 2: Green colored aquifers indicates exceedance anticipated before December 31, 2020

Rule 16.4. Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Threshold 11. Perform studies to improve quantification of pumping effects,
characterization of aquifer, and prediction of changes in future water
levels

2. Evaluate options for possible curtailment to achieve management goals

Threshold 2 1. Evaluate the Management Plan and rules regarding management zones, collection and analysis of monitoring data, and DFCs.

2. May notify well owners of possible curtailment of groundwater production

Threshold 3

1. Conduct public hearing to discuss aquifer conditions. Develop a Response Action Work Plan to achieve DFCs and PDLs.

2. May reduce the maximum water production permitted per acre for the Management Zone and the water authorized to be produced under any permit issued by the District for that zone

Summary of Actions: Hydrogeologic Studies

- Hydrogeological Studies
 - Additional Groundwater Water Level Measurements
 - Fall 2020 monitoring event
 - Addition of approximately 25 InSitu/WellIntel equipment
 - Analysis of Water Level for PDL/DFC Compliance
 - Geostatistical investigations with UT at Austin
 - Developed alternative technique
 - Compliance Report for DFCs and PDLs
 - Documents using measured water levels to assess compliance
 - Schedule completion date is December 2020
 - Improved Prediction of Future Water Level Changes
 - GMA 12 update of GAM regarding Simsboro properties near Vista Ridge wells
 - 2021 project to continually improve the GAM
 - Developed Outline for Management Strategies Report
 - Assess effectiveness of current strategies for achieving goals
 - Identify changes in strategies to improve likelihood of achieving goals

Summary of Actions: Curtailment Options

 Perform simulations investigating curtailment of permits in the Carrizo

Examples of Changes in Simulated Hydrographs

Summary of Actions: Curtailment Options

Summary of Actions: Curtailment Options

Vista Pidgo	DFC (2010 - 2070)					
Carrizo Pumping (AFY)	Carrizo Calvert Bluff		Simsboro			
0	105	157	347			
5,000	127	165	349			
6,000	132	166	349			
7,500	139	169	349			
9,000	145	171	350			
15,000	172	181	351			

Effects of Curtailment: Reduction of Carrizo Pumping in PS-7 by 9,000 AFY in 2023

- DFC
 - 2070 DFC-drawdown
 from 172 ft to 123 ft
- PDL change
 - 2070 PDL-drawdown
 from 89 to 79
- Impacts to existing well owners
 - Wells likely to need corrective action is reduced from about 70 to 20 wells

Desired Future Conditions

POSGCD Pumping for PS-7*

	Current DFC (feet)	Current MAG in 2070	S-7 Drawdown from 2010 to 2070 (feet)	S-7 Pumpage in 2070 (acre-feet)
Sparta	28	6,735	17	1,983
Queen City	30	504	18	1,045
Carrizo	67	7,058	173	18,205
Calvert Bluff	149	1,036	184	4,761
Simsboro	318	48,503	352	85,855
Hooper	205	4,422	223	3,126

Consideration for Evaluating DFCs

- Permitted Pumping
- Impact of Pumping on Water Levels at Existing Wells
- Compliance with existing DFCs and PDLs
- Existing Water Column above the Top of the Aquifer (Available drawdown)
- Impact on Pumping in Adjacent GCDs on DFCs in POSGCD
- Reported Pumping is Less than the Permitted Pumping
- Addition of Management Zones and Changes in DFC
- Uncertainty in model predictions (± 10%)
- Nine Factors Listed in TWC Section 36.108

Management Zones

Calvert Bluff

Hooper

Uncertainty Associated with GAM Prediction

Sources of Uncertainty

- Model Error (aquifer properties, boundary conditions)
- Future Permitted Pumping Rates (exempt and non-exempt)
- Location of Pumping Rates (exempt and non-exempt)
- Recharge conditions

Uncertainty Associated with DFC Simulation

DFCs Discussion: Directions for Future Scenarios

- Ranges of POSGCD Pumping Rates by Aquifer
- Range of Average Drawdowns in POSGCD by Aquifer
- Impact of POSGCD wells
- Area of Interest for DFCs
- Range of Uncertainty

Questions?

Queen City

1 high-priority well

1 high-priority well