## PRESENTATION TO GMA-12: Environmental Impact Considerations

By consultants for the: Brazos Valley GCD (LBG-Guyton Associates) Fayette County GCD (Daniel B. Stephens & Associates) Lost Pines GCD (Daniel B. Stephens & Associates) Mid-East Texas GCD (Matt Uliana, independent consultant) Post Oak Savannah GCD (INTERA, Inc.)

> Presented By: Steve Young



August 13, 2015

## APPROACH

- Introduction to Groundwater Flow System
- Measured GW/SW Interaction
- Measured Spring Flow
- Overview of GMA 12 Aquifers and Their Numerical Representation
- QCSP GAM Simulated GW/SW Exchange
- QCSP GAM Simulated Spring Flow
- Summary of Key Environmental Issues

# INTRODUCTION TO GROUNDWATER FLOW SYSTEMS

- Definition of Terms
- Groundwater Flow Zones and Flow Paths

# DEFINITION OF UNSATURATED AND SATURATED GROUNDWATER ZONES

- The unsaturated zone is beneath land surface where pore spaces are partially filled with water and air.
- The saturated zone is beneath a water table where pore spaces are filled with water.



# **DEFINITION OF A WATER TABLE**

- A water table is where the saturated zone meets the unsaturated zone
- A water table occurs where the groundwater is under atmospheric pressure
- Water table is the upper boundary of the shallow groundwater flow zone; it contains the groundwater that supports spring flow and interacts with rivers and lakes



## HEIRARCHY OF GROUNDWATER FLOW SYSTEMS



NOT TO SCALE

Local ground-water flow path

---- Intermediate ground-water flow path

Regional ground-water flow path

Indicates flow simulated by the regional ground-water flow model constructed for this investigation Note: Most GAMs and regional groundwater flow models do not have the vertical resolution in their layering to represent local flow paths.

## LOCATION OF GW-SW INTERACTION AND SPRINGS



## **ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS ASSOCIATED WITH PUMPING**

- **Reduced flows to rivers**
- Withdrawal from rivers (losing streams)
- **Reduced spring flows**
- **Dried springs**
- Low Water Table (vegetation impact)



Water Table

# GAINING AND LOSING STREAMS





**USGS Circular 1186, 1999** 

Gaining:

- Net discharge of groundwater to surface water
   "base flow"
- Losing:
  - Net discharge of surface water to groundwater
     "recharge"

**GCD** Consultants

## COMPARISON OF COLORADO RIVER LEVELS AND WATER LEVEL IN SHALLOW WELLS:



**GCD** Consultants

## INTRO TO GW SYSTEM: SUMMARY POINTS

- Basin-scale groundwater systems have a shallow, intermediate and deep flow system
- Most regional groundwater computer models do not have sufficient vertical layering to represent a shallow flow system accurately
- The water table is the upper boundary of the shallow flow system
- Spring flow and GW/SW exchange occurs primarily where the ground surface or bottom of a stream intersects the water table

# **MEASURED GW/SW EXCHANGE**

- River Gage Hydrograph
- Approaches to Measuring GW/SW Exchange
  - Gain/Loss Study
  - Hydrograph Separation
- Groundwater Contribution to River Baseflows
  - Colorado River
  - Streams in POSGCD
  - Brazos River

## STREAM DATA FROM THE COLORADO RIVER



**GCD** Consultants

## STREAM DATA FROM THE BRAZOS RIVER



**GCD** Consultants

## COMMON METHODS TO EVALUATE SURFACE-GROUNDWATER INTERACTION

- Stream Gain/Loss Study
  - Measure flow in stream at several locations at one time
  - Perform a water balance that should account for diversions or returns
- Hydrograph Separation
  - Measure stage (discharge) in stream at a single location (hydrograph) over a large time period
  - Separate flow into event flow (runoff) and a base flow component

# STREAM GAIN/LOSS STUDY

### Groundwater Flux = Downstream River Flow

- + River Outflows (ET, diversions)
- Upstream River Flow
- River Inflows (tributaries, return flows)



## HYDROGRAPH SEPARATION APPROACHES

- Groundwater Models: TWDB GAM Program
  - Identifies GW component of river flow
  - Attempts to separate river discharge into runoff and baseflow component
- Surface Water Models: TCEQ Instream Flow Program
  - Does not identify GW component of river flow
  - Attempts to separate river discharge into five flow stream categories

### TWDB GAM PROGRAM: BASEFLOW SEPARATION USING DATA FROM A SINGLE RIVER GAGE



#### Lavaca Basin (Gage 8164000)

- Event Flow
  - Runoff from precipitation events
  - Reservoir releases

### Base Flow

- Groundwater discharge
- Reservoir releases
- Return flows
- Bank flows
- Seasonal variations

#### **Computer Program**

- Base Flow Index (BFI)
- Calculates ratio of baseflow to runoff

# **TCEQ INSTREAM FLOW PROGRAM**

- Perform statistical analysis of flow data to identify one of five river flow regimes per day using a computer program
  - Indicators of Hydrological Alterations (IHA)
  - Hydrology-based Environmental Flow Regime (HEFR)
- Source of river water is not a factor in determining flow regimes
- Groundwater could be an important component of subsistence and critical flow regimes in some basins



## DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HYDROGRAPH SEPARATION



## COLORADO RIVER GAIN-LOSS STUDY (SAUNDERS, 2006)\*



# GAIN-LOSS STUDY IN VICINITY POSGCD



		Area	Avg. Precip.	Runoff	Avg. Baseflow	% Precip.
Gage #	Gage Name	(acre)	(in/yr)	(in/yr)	(in/yr)	as Baseflow
08110100	Davidson Ck nr Lyons, TX	124532	40.39	5.10	0.23	0.57%
08104500	Little Rv nr Little River, TX	3373280	32.43	3.02	1.62	4.99%
08105700	San Gabriel Rv at Laneport, TX	471287	34.56	4.82	1.39	4.01%
08106350	Little Rv nr Rockdale, TX	633128	Insufficient Data			
08106500	Little Rv nr Cameron, TX	700419	35.43	3.59	2.01	5.68%

#### April 5, 2011

## ANALYSIS OF STREAM GAINS FROM (TURCO, 2007)

#### March Recharge Freestone Recharge: 8.1 in/yr Legend WAM Diversions March 0 0-1CFS 0 1-2 CFS 0 2-9 CFS 9 - 56 CFS 0 Recharge: 56.4 in/yr . 56 - 141 CFS GW SC = 2346 uS/cm Return Flows Brazos Major Rivers March Recharge Areas Indiscriminate Recharge: 53.6 in/yr Verified Gain GW SC = 3441 uS/cm Major Reservoirs Counties Recharge: 221.1 in/yr GW SC = 1409 uS/cm Recharge: 38.2 in/yr GW SC = 922 uS/cm Favete Miles 18, 18 24 036 Colorado NTERA

#### August Recharge



## POTENTIAL PROBLEMS OF BRAZOS RIVER GAIN-LOSS STUDY (TURCO, 2007)

- Gain-loss studies performed when river flow was not steady and uniform
- Pulsing river flow was not considered as part of data collection or analysis
- Data analysis did not properly consider diversion and return flows



## MEASURED GW/SW EXCHANGE: SUMMARY POINTS

- Stream flows in Colorado and Brazos River have a large temporal variability component
- Geohydrologist and surface water hydrologist have different approaches for evaluation river gage hydrographs
- Stream gain-loss studies should be performed during well controlled, steady-flow conditions
- High quality stream gain-loss studies are difficult to conduct and relatively few good studies exist
- Brazos River gain-loss study should be used with caution because it has not been properly adjusted for return flow, diversions, and unsteady flow effects
- Stream studies can be used to obtain lower estimates of recharge across a watershed

# **MEASURED SPRING FLOW**

- Spring Mechanics
  - Regional Aquifer
  - Perched Aquifer
  - Required Conditions
- Review of Literature Regarding Springs
  - Location
  - Discharge Rates

## **SPRINGS AND SEEPS**



Schematic of a spring in Carrizo-Wilcox sand and terrace sand and gravel (1981, Brune)

## **PERCHED WATER TABLE**

A perched water table is a water-bearing unit that occurs above the regional water table, in the unsaturated zone where there is an impermeable layer of sediment (aquiclude) above the main water table/aquifer.

If a perched aquifer's flow intersects the earth's dry surface, at a valley wall for example, the water is discharged as a spring



Schematic of a spring connected to a perched water table (2015,https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Water\_table)

## REQUIREMENTS FOR A SPRING TO OCCUR IN THE GEOLOGICAL FORMATIONS IN GMA 12

- Aquifer to deliver water to a spring
- Sufficiently large recharge area
- Sufficient hydraulic pressure gradient between recharge and discharge area to cause flow
- Water table intersected by ground surface

# **IDENTIFIED SPRING IN GMA 12**

- Sources
  - Springs of Texas, Volume
    1 (2002, Brune)
  - Database of historically documented springs and spring flow measurements in Texas(2003, Heitmuller and Reece)
  - TWDB Groundwater
    Database (March, 2014)



## IDENTIFIED SPRING IN GMA 12 (CONT.)





**GCD** Consultants

# MEASURED SPRING FLOW: SUMMARY POINTS

- Springs are typically controlled by localized site-specific topographic, hydrologic, and geological conditions
- Perched and regional water tables can be a source of springs
- Extremely limited spring flow data collected since 1970s

# OVERVIEW OF GMA 12 AQUIFERS AND THEIR NUMERICAL REPRESENTATION IN THE GAM

- Aquifer Outcrop
- Vertical Hydraulic Gradients
- Potential Problems with Developing Numerical grids for Models
- Summary Points

# SCHEMATIC OF DIPPING AQUIFER



#### Slide provided by Harden & Associates

#### **GCD** Consultants

## FOOTPRINT OF AQUIFER OUTCROPS



## VERTICAL CROSS-SECTION THROUGH MILAM AND BURLESON COUNTIES





## WATER LEVELS FROM CLUSTER MONITORING WELLS IN HARRIS COUNTY



## WATER LEVELS FROM STAGED MONITORING WELLS IN HARRIS COUNTY (CONT.)



## FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM WITH DEVELOPING REGIONAL MODEL TO ADDRESS LOCAL ISSUES

Where shallow water level is different from deep water level near a river— how thick and large should the grid cells be?



### FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM WITH DEVELOPING REGIONAL MODEL TO ADDRESS LOCAL ISSUES

Some options for grid cell construction near a stream. Which options provides the best option for representing shallow flow paths? Which options requires the most effort and data to create?



## A GENERIC APROACH TO DEVELOPING A REGIONAL GROUNDWATER MODEL



- Each aquifer represented by a model layer
- Along an outcrop, the grid cells get thicker
- Where the grid cells are thick, the model loses ability to represent a shallow groundwater flow paths

## THICKNESS OF GRID CELL REPRESENTING OUTCROP AND WATER LEVEL ELEVATION



# AQUIFER AND GAM GRID CONSTRUCTION: SUMMARY POINTS

- The GMA 12 aquifers are dipping and therefore include both an unconfined (outcrop) and confined component
- Where there is pumping, there will be large vertical hydraulic gradients, so model layering is an important design criterion
- Spring flows and GW/SW exchange are largely controlled by the water table the outcrop
- The GAM has numerous grid cells representing the outcrop that are over 300 feet thick
- Thick grid cells in the outcrop can lead to problems with accurately simulating spring flows and GW/SW interactions
- Arbitrary decreases in grid sizes does not necessarily improve a model performance but a well designed numerical grid can have a major important in how well a model can perform

# SPARTA/QUEEN CITY/CARRIZO-WILCOX GAM SIMULATED GW/SW EXCHANGE

- Representation of Streams and Springs
- Simulated GW/SW Exchange
- Summary Points

## **REPRESENTATION OF STREAMS**

### MODFLOW Stream Package

- Located only in aquifer outcrops
- Assigned a stream water level that changes annually
- GW/SW exchange based on difference between aquifer and stream interaction



#### Figure from Kelley and others (2004)

# SIMULATED GW/SW EXCHANGE: COLORADO RIVER & TRIBUTARIES



Note: Negative flows means the aquifer is providing groundwater to the stream – so stream is gaining.

# SIMULATED GW-SW EXCHANGE: BRAZOS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES



Note: Negative flows means the aquifer is providing groundwater to the stream – so stream is gaining.

## LOCATION OF GAINING AND LOSING STREAM CELLS (1980 & 2070) FOR COLORADO RIVER



Note: Negative flows (red, orange, yellow) means the aquifer is providing groundwater to the stream – so stream is gaining. Positive flows (greens and blues) means the aquifer if receiving water from the stream – so stream is losing.

## LOCATION OF GAINING AND LOSING STREAM CELLS (1980) FOR BRAZOS RIVER



Note: Negative flows (red, orange, yellow) means the aquifer is providing groundwater to the stream – so stream is gaining. Positive flows (greens and blues) means the aquifer if receiving water from the stream – so stream is losing.

**GCD** Consultants

### POTENTIAL PROBLEM WITH REPRESENTING GW/SW INTERACTION IS THICKNESS OF GRID CELL

- Numerous grid cells have thicknesses > 200 feet
- Thick grid cells prevents model from simulation shallow groundwater flow zone
- If "deep" pumping occurs in a thick grid cell, river acts as a source of recharge for aquifer
- Because of model grid construction, there is a question if the losses are an artifact of the thick grids



#### POTENTIAL PROBLEM WITH REPRESENTING GW-SW INTERACTION IS BOTH THICKNESS AND SIZE OF GRID CELL

#### **Example is Lower Colorado River**



GAM 1 mile by 1 mile grid LSWP 0.25 mile by 0.25 mile grid EPA RF1

National Hydrography Database

Note: Grid size affects the location of river to wells

#### **GCD** Consultants

### EXAMPLE OF IMPROVED PREDICTION OF GW/SW INTERACTION BY REFINING GRID CELL SIZES



GCD Consultants

### COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL GRID BETWEEN THE LCRB MODEL AND THE CENTRAL GULF COAST GAM

### **Chicot Aquifer**

- GAM = 1 layer with thickness up to 1000 ft
- LCRB = 4 layers with shallow
  50 to 100 ft thick

### GAM (1 layer)

- one hydraulic head value
- all same aquifer property
- all wells intersect the entire layer thickness

### LCRB (4 layer)

- four hydraulic head value
- four unit with different aquifer properties
- wells located in 1 to 4 layers

#### **GCD** Consultants



One cell total

R, ET, and GW-SW process interact directly with <u>deep</u> system

#### Four cells total

LCRB Model

R, ET, and GW-SW processes interact directly with <u>shallow</u> system

### Central Gulf Coast GAM

## QSCP GW-SW INTERACTIONS: SUMMARY POINTS

- Many grid cells in aquifer outcrop are too thick to represent a shallow flow system accurately
- Modeling in Gulf Coast demonstrates the importance of modeling a shallow groundwater system
- Because of model grid construction, there is a question of what portions of the predicted pumping impacts on river are an artifact of the model construction
- 1-mile by 1-mile grid cell size inhibits accurate assignment of river locations and elevations
- Little data for representative estimates of GW/SW exchange to help model development
- Large flow (~250,000 AFY) in 1975 from aquifers into rivers raises a few questions

# SPARTA/QUEEN CITY/CARRIZO-WILCOX GAM SIMULATED SPRING FLOW

- Representation of Springs
- Simulated Spring Flow
- Summary Points

## REPRESENTATION OF SPRINGS AWAY FROM STREAMS

**MODFLOW** Drain Package

- Located only in aquifer outcrops
- Assigned an elevation based on topographic low
- Spring flow based on difference between aquifer and drain elevation



Figure from Kelley and others (2004)

## SIMULATED GROUNDWATER FLOW FROM DRAINS



Drain flow represents about 0.3% of water balance for GMA 12

Assumed that all drains represent springs. Modelers may have used drains to limit recharge

# SIMULATED DEPTH TO WATER TABLE IN THE AQUIFER OUTCROP (1980)



Note: In down-dip reaches of some of the aquifer outcrops, the depth to the water table exceeds 150 feet in 1980

# SIMULATED DEPTH TO WATER TABLE IN THE AQUIFER OUTCROP (2070)



Note: In down-dip reaches of much of the Simsboro outcrop, the depth to the water table exceeds 150 feet in 2070

# SIMULATED WATER TABLE (FT, MSL) IN THE AQUIFER OUTCROP (2070)



Note: In the aquifer outcrop, there is strong correlation between the model layering and outcrop location and the water table elevation

## THICKNESS OF GRID CELL REPRESENTING OUTCROP AND WATER LEVEL ELEVATION



## QSCP SPRING FLOW: SUMMARY POINTS

- Spring flow is estimated to be about 70,000 AFY in 1975 and 20,000 AFY in 2010
- Future pumping in PS4 run will reduce spring flow to 12,000 AFY in 2010
- No springs identified in GMA 12 that are tied to endangered species
- Many grid cells in the aquifer outcrop are too thick to represent a shallow flow system
- Thick grid cells in the aquifer outcrop area have the potential to cause spring flow to be under predicted where pumping occurs near the spring
- There is insufficient field data to evaluate the accuracy of the GAM to predict the impact of pumping of spring flow

# SUMMARY OF KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

## SUMMARY OF KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

- Spring Flow and GW-Stream Exchange are potentially important environmental issues
- Accurate prediction of pumping impacts on spring flow and river flow requires accurate predictions of a shallow groundwater system, including a water table
- The QSCP GAM is not a good simulator of water tables or shallow groundwater flow systems because of thick grid cells in the aquifer outcrop

## SUMMARY OF KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

- Collection of representative stream gain-loss data is expensive. Very limited good gain-loss data exists in GMA 12
- Brazos River gain-loss study should be used with caution because it has not been properly adjusted for return flow, diversions, and unsteady flow effects
- LCRA gain-loss study should also be used with care because it was measured during low flow conditions and it not likely representative of other flow conditions

# SUMMARY OF KEY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

- TCEQ Environmental Instream Flow program is set up to protect the health of the Colorado and Brazos Rivers
- River authorities are currently managing in-stream flows in Colorado and Brazos rivers
- Groundwater flow into streams can be an important contributor for helping river authorities maintain critical or subsistence flows
- Springs' flows are poorly documented; no substantial flow measurements done since 1970s

Consultants for the Brazos Valley GCD (LBG-Guyton Associates) Fayette County GCD (Daniel B. Stephens & Associates) Lost Pines GCD (Daniel B. Stephens & Associates) Mid-East Texas GCD (Matt Uliana, independent consultant) Post Oak Savannah GCD (INTERA, Inc.)